arXiv:1508.07034v1 [cs.IT] 27 Aug 2015

CYCLIC CODES OVER THE RING $\mathbb{F}_p[u,v]/\langle u^k, v^2, uv-vu\rangle$

BAPPADITYA GHOSH AND PRAMOD KUMAR KEWAT

Abstract. Let p be a prime number. In this paper, we discuss the structures of cyclic codes over the ring $\mathbb{F}_p[u,v]/\langle u^k, v^2, uv-vu\rangle$. We find a unique set of generators for these codes. We also study the rank and the Hamming distance of these codes.

1. Introduction

The study of linear codes over finite rings has accomplished significant important since the realization that some good nonlinear codes can be identified as Gray image of $\mathbb{Z}_4$ linear code (cf. [18, 9, 15]). Cyclic codes, an important class of linear codes, have also generated great interest in algebraic coding theory. The good progress has been achieved in a series of papers in the direction of determining the structural properties of cyclic codes over the large family of rings, mainly over finite chain rings (cf. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14, 19, 20, 22]).

Yildiz and Karadeniz in [23] have considered the ring $\mathbb{F}_2[u,v]/\langle u^2, v^2, uv-vu\rangle$, which is not a chain ring, and studied cyclic codes of odd length over that. They have found some good binary codes as the Gray images of these cyclic codes. The authors of [13] studied the general properties of cyclic codes over the more general ring $\mathbb{F}_2[u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_k]/\langle u_i^2, u_j^2, u_iu_j - u_ju_i\rangle$ and characterized the nontrivial one-generator cyclic codes. Sobhani and Molakarimi in [21] extended these studies to cyclic codes over the ring $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}[u,v]/\langle u^2, v^2, uv-vu\rangle$. The authors of [16] have studied the cyclic codes over the ring $\mathbb{F}_p[u,v]/\langle u^2, v^2, uv-vu\rangle$ and have found some good ternary codes as the Gray images of these cyclic codes.

In this paper, we discuss the structure of cyclic codes of arbitrary length n over the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p} = \mathbb{F}_p[u,v]/\langle u^k, v^2, uv-vu\rangle$, k a positive integer. We find a unique set of generators for these codes. The idea to find a set of generators is as follows. We view the cyclic code C as an ideal in the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p,n} = R_{u^k,v^2,p}[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$. We define the projection map from $R_{u^k,v^2,p,n} \longrightarrow R_{u^k,p,n} = R_{u^k,p}[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$, $R_{u^k,p} = \mathbb{F}_p[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$, and we get an ideal in the ring $R_{u^k,p,n}$, which gives a cyclic code over the ring $R_{u^k,p}$. The structure of cyclic codes over the ring $R_{u^k,p}$ is known from [20]. By pullback, we find a set of generators for a cyclic code over the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$. We simplified a set of generators for these cyclic codes when n is relatively prime to p. We also provide the characterization of the free cyclic codes over the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 94B15.

Key words and phrases. Cyclic codes, Hamming distance.

We find the rank and minimal spanning set for a cyclic code C of arbitrary length n over the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$. We find the rank of these cyclic codes by using the division algorithm and direct computations. We first find the minimal spanning sets of kernel and image of the projection map from $C \longrightarrow R_{u^k,p,n}$. Then using the isomorphism theorem we find the minimal spanning set a cyclic code C over the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$. These computations are not straightforward, there are difficulties that need to be overcome. For example, we have several non regular elements as parts of generators, where we can not apply the division algorithm directly. We have used the inductive arguments to find the minimal spanning set in these cases. We also find the Hamming distance of these codes for length p^l.

2. Preliminaries

A ring with the unique maximal ideal is called a local ring. Let R be a finite commutative local ring with maximal ideal M. Let $\overline{R} = R/M$ be the residue field and $\mu : R[x] \to \overline{R}[x]$ denote the natural ring homomorphism that maps $r \mapsto r + M$ and the variable x to x. The degree of the polynomial $f(x) \in R[x]$ as the degree of the polynomial $\mu(f(x))$ in $\overline{R}[x]$, i.e., $deg(f(x)) = deg(\mu(f(x))$ (see, for example, [17]). A polynomial $f(x) \in R[x]$ is called regular if it is not a zero divisor. The following conditions are equivalent for a finite commutative local ring R.

Proposition 2.1. (cf. [17, Exercise XIII.2(c)]) *Let R be a finite commutative local ring. Let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$ be in $R[x]$, then the following are equivalent.*

(1) *$f(x)$ is regular;*
(2) *$\langle a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n\rangle = R$;*
(3) *a_i is an unit for some i, $0 \leq i \leq n$;*
(4) *$\mu(f(x)) \neq 0$;*

The following version of the division algorithm holds true for polynomials over finite commutative local rings.

Proposition 2.2. (cf. [17, Exercise XIII.6]) *Let R be a finite commutative local ring. Let $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ be non zero polynomials in $R[x]$. If $g(x)$ is regular, then there exist polynomials $q(x)$ and $r(x)$ in $R[x]$ such that $f(x) = g(x)q(x) + r(x)$ and $deg(r(x)) < deg(g(x))$.*

2.1. The ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$.

Let $R_{u^k,v^2,p} = (\mathbb{F}_p + u\mathbb{F}_p + \cdots + u^{k-1}\mathbb{F}_p) + v(\mathbb{F}_p + u\mathbb{F}_p + \cdots + u^{k-1}\mathbb{F}_p), u^k = 0$, $v^2 = 0$ and $uv = vu$. Also it can be viewed as $(\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p) + u(\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p), u^k = 0$, $v^2 = 0$ and $uv = vu$. The ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$ is a finite commutative local ring with unique maximal ideal $\langle u, v\rangle$. The set $\{\{0\}, \langle u\rangle, \langle u^2\rangle, \cdots, \langle u^{k-1}\rangle, \langle v\rangle, \langle uv\rangle, \langle u^2v\rangle, \cdots, \langle u^{k-1}v\rangle, \langle u+\alpha v\rangle, \langle u^2+\alpha v\rangle, \cdots, \langle u^{k-1} + \alpha v\rangle, \langle u^{k-1}, v\rangle, \langle u^{k-2}, v\rangle, \cdots, \langle u, v\rangle, \langle 1\rangle\}$ gives list of all ideals of $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$, where α is a non zero element of $\mathbb{F}_p$. Since the maximal ideal $\langle u, v\rangle$ is not principal, the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$ is not a chain ring.

Let $g(x)$ be a non zero polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$. By Proposition 2.1, it is easy to see that the polynomial $g(x)+up_1(x)+\cdots+u^{k-1}p_{k-1}(x)+v(p_k(x)+up_{k+1}(x)+\cdots+u^{k-1}p_{2k-1}(x)) \in R_{u^k,v^2,p}[x]$ is regular. Note that $\deg(g(x)+up_1(x)+\cdots+u^{k-1}p_{k-1}(x)+v(p_k(x)+up_{k+1}(x)+\cdots+u^{k-1}p_{2k-1}(x))) = \deg(g(x))$.

2.2. The Gray map.

The Gray map $\varphi_L : R_{u^k,v^2,p} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^{2k}$ is defined as follows

$$\varphi_L\left(a+vb\right) \rightarrow \left(\varphi\left(a+b\right), \varphi\left(b\right)\right),\ \forall\ a, b \in R_{u^k,p},$$

where

$$\varphi\left(a_1+ua_2+\cdots+u^{k-1}a_k\right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i, \sum_{i=2}^{k} a_i, \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} a_i, \cdots, \sum_{i=\frac{k+1}{2}}^{\frac{k+3}{2}} a_i, \sum_{i=\frac{k+1}{2}}^{\frac{k+1}{2}} a_i\right),$$

when k is odd and

$$\varphi\left(a_1+ua_2+\cdots+u^{k-1}a_k\right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i, \sum_{i=2}^{k} a_i, \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} a_i, \cdots, \sum_{i=\frac{k}{2}}^{\frac{k}{2}+1} a_i, \sum_{i=\frac{k}{2}+1}^{\frac{k}{2}+1} a_i\right),$$

when k is even.

Let w_L and w_H denote the Lee weight and Hamming weight respectively. We define the Lee weight as follows

$$w_L\left(a+vb\right) = w_H\left(\varphi_L\left(a+vb\right)\right),\ \forall\ a, b \in R_{u^k,p},$$

The Gray map naturally extend to $R^n_{u^k,v^2,p}$ as distance preserving isometry

$$\varphi_L : \left(R^n_{u^k,v^2,p},\ \text{Lee weight}\right) \rightarrow \left(\mathbb{F}_p^{2kn},\ \text{Hamming weight}\right)$$

as follows

$$\varphi_L\left(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\right) \rightarrow \left(\varphi_L\left(a_1\right), \varphi_L\left(a_2\right), \cdots, \varphi_L\left(a_n\right)\right),\ \forall\ a_i \in R_{u^k,v^2,p}.$$

By linearity of the map φ_L we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *If C is a linear code over the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$ of length n, size p^l and minimum Lee weight d, then $\varphi_L(C)$ is a p-ary linear code with parameters $[2kn, l, d]$.*

3. THE STRUCTURES OF CYCLIC CODES OVER THE RING $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$

Let p be a prime number and n be a positive integer. Let $R_{u^k,v^2,p} = \mathbb{F}_p[u,v]/\langle u^k, v^2, uv-vu\rangle$. We can write $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$ as $R_{u^k,v^2,p} = R_{u^k,p}+vR_{u^k,p}, v^2 = 0$, where $R_{u^k,p} = \mathbb{F}_p+u\mathbb{F}_p+\cdots+u^{k-1}\mathbb{F}_p$ and $u^k = 0$. Also, it can be written as $R_{u^k,v^2,p} = R_{v^2,p}+uR_{v^2,p}+\cdots+u^{k-1}R_{v^2,p}$ and $u^k = 0$, where $R_{v^2,p} = \mathbb{F}_p+v\mathbb{F}_p$ and $v^2 = 0$. Let $R_{u^k,v^2,p,n} = R_{u^k,v^2,p}[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$. Let C be a cyclic code of length n over $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$. We also consider C as an ideal in the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p,n}$. We define the map $\psi : R_{u^k,v^2,p} \rightarrow R_{u^k,p}$ by $\psi(\alpha+v\beta) = \alpha$, where $\alpha, \beta \in R_{u^k,p}$. Clearly the map ψ is a surjective ring homomorphism. Let $R_{u^k,p,n} = R_{u^k,p}[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$. We extend this homomorphism to a homomorphism ϕ from C to the ring $R_{u^k,p,n}$ defined by

$$\phi\left(c_0+c_1x+\cdots+c_{n-1}x^{n-1}\right) = \psi\left(c_0\right)+\psi\left(c_1\right)x+\cdots+\psi\left(c_{n-1}\right)x^{n-1}, \quad (1)$$

where $c_i \in R_{u^k,v^2,p}$. Let $J = \{r(x) \in R_{u^k,p,n}[x] \mid vr(x) \in \ker\phi\}$. We see that J is an ideal of $R_{u^k,p,n}$. Hence we can consider J as a cyclic code over the ring $R_{u^k,p}$. We know from Theorem 3.3 of [20] that any ideal of $R_{u^k,p,n}$ is of the form $\langle g(x) + up_1(x) + u^2p_2(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}p_{k-1}(x), ua_1(x) + u^2q_1(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}q_{k-2}(x), u^2a_2(x) + u^3l_1(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}l_{k-3}(x), \cdots, u^{k-2}a_{k-2}(x) + u^{k-1}t_1(x), u^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x)\rangle$ with $a_{k-1}(x)|a_{k-2}(x)|\cdots|a_2(x)|a_1(x)|g(x)|(x^n-1) \bmod p$; $a_1(x)|p_1(x)\frac{x^n-1}{g(x)}, \cdots, a_{k-1}(x)|t_1(x)\frac{x^n-1}{a_{k-2}(x)}; \cdots ; a_{k-1}(x)|p_{k-1}(x)\frac{x^n-1}{g(x)}\cdots\frac{x^n-1}{a_{k-2}(x)}$; $\deg(p_1(x)) < \deg(a_1(x))$; $\deg(p_2(x)), \deg(q_1(x)) < \deg(a_2(x)); \cdots ; \deg(p_{k-1}(x)), \deg(q_{k-2}(x)), \cdots, \deg(t_1(x)) < \deg(a_{k-1}(x))$. Now we assume that $B_1 = g(x) + up_1(x) + u^2p_2(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}p_{k-1}(x), B_2 = ua_1(x) + u^2q_1(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}q_{k-2}(x), B_3 = u^2a_2(x) + u^3l_1(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}l_{k-3}(x), \cdots, B_{k-1} = u^{k-2}a_{k-2}(x) + u^{k-1}t_1(x)$, $B_k = u^{k-1}a_{k-1}(x)$. So $J = \langle B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_k\rangle$. Therefore, we can write $\ker\phi = \langle vB_1, vB_2, \cdots, vB_k\rangle$. Since ϕ is a surjective homomorphism, the image $\mathrm{Im}\phi$ is an ideal of $R_{u^k,p,n}$. Hence, $\mathrm{Im}\phi$ is a cyclic code over the ring $R_{u^k,p}$. Again we can write $\mathrm{Im}\phi$ as above. That is, $\mathrm{Im}\phi = \langle B'_1, B'_2, \cdots, B'_k\rangle$. Therefore the code C over the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$ can be written as $C = \langle A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_{2k}\rangle$, where, A_i's are defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= g_1(x) + ug_{11}(x) + u^2g_{12}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{1(k-1)}(x)\\
&\quad + v(g_{1k}(x) + ug_{1(k+1)}(x) + u^2g_{1(k+2)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{1(2k-1)}(x)),\\
A_2 &= ug_2(x) + u^2g_{22}(x) + u^3g_{23}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{2(k-1)}(x)\\
&\quad + v(g_{2k}(x) + ug_{2(k+1)}(x) + u^2g_{2(k+2)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{2(2k-1)}(x)),\\
&\ \vdots\\
A_i &= u^{i-1}g_i(x) + u^ig_{ii}(x) + u^{i+1}g_{i(i+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{i(k-1)}(x)\\
&\quad + v(g_{ik}(x) + ug_{i(k+1)}(x) + u^2g_{i(k+2)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{i(2k-1)}(x)),\\
&\ \vdots\\
A_{k-1} &= u^{k-2}g_{k-1}(x) + u^{k-1}g_{(k-1)(k-1)}(x) + v(g_{(k-1)k}(x) + ug_{(k-1)(k+1)}(x)\\
&\quad + u^2g_{(k-1)(k+2)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{(k-1)(2k-1)}(x)),\\
A_k &= u^{k-1}g_k(x) + v(g_{kk}(x) + ug_{k(k+1)}(x) + u^2g_{k(k+2)}(x) + \cdots +\\
&\quad u^{k-1}g_{k(2k-1)}(x)),\\
A_{k+1} &= v(g_{k+1}(x) + ug_{(k+1)(k+1)}(x) + u^2g_{(k+1)(k+2)}(x) + \cdots +\\
&\quad u^{k-1}g_{(k+1)(2k-1)}(x)),\\
A_{k+2} &= v(ug_{k+2}(x) + u^2g_{(k+2)(k+2)}(x) + u^3g_{(k+2)(k+3)}(x) + \cdots +\\
&\quad u^{k-1}g_{(k+2)(2k-1)}(x)),\\
&\ \vdots\\
A_{k+i} &= v(u^{i-1}g_{k+i}(x) + u^ig_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x) + u^{i+1}g_{(k+i)(k+i+1)}(x) + \cdots +\\
&\quad u^{k-1}g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x)),\\
&\ \vdots\\
A_{2k-1} &= v(u^{k-2}g_{2k-1}(x) + u^{k-1}g_{(2k-1)(2k-1)}(x)),\\
A_{2k} &= vu^{k-1}g_{2k}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Throughout this paper we use $A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_{2k}$ for above polynomials.

For an ideal C of the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p,n} = R_{u^k,v^2,p}[x]/\langle x^n - 1\rangle$, we define the residue and the torsion of the ideal C as (see [13])

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Res}(C) &= \{a \in R_{u^k,p,n} \mid \exists\, b \in R_{u^k,p,n} : a + vb \in C\} \text{ and}\\
\mathrm{Tor}(C) &= \{a \in R_{u^k,p,n} \mid va \in C\}
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that when C is an ideal of the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p,n}$, the $\mathrm{Res}(C)$ and $\mathrm{Tor}(C)$ both are ideals of $R_{u^k,p,n}$. And also it is easy to show that $\mathrm{Res}(C) = \mathrm{Im}\phi$ and $\mathrm{Tor}(C) = J$.

Again, for an ideal C' of the ring $R_{u^i,p,n} = R_{u^i,p}[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$, for $2 \leq i \leq k$ we define residue and torsion of the ideal C' as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Res}(C') = \{a \in R_{u^{i-1},p,n} |\ \exists\ b \in R_{u^{i-1},p,n} : a + u^{i-1}b \in C'\} \text{ and}\\
&\mathrm{Tor}(C') = \{a \in R_{u,p,n} |\ u^{i-1}a \in C'\}
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathrm{Res}(C')$ and $\mathrm{Tor}(C')$ are ideals of the ring $R_{u^{i-1},p,n}$ and $R_{u,p,n}$ respectively. Note that $R_{u,p} = \frac{\mathbb{F}_p[u]}{\langle u\rangle} \simeq \mathbb{F}_p$, therefore, $R_{u,p,n} = \frac{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}{\langle x^n-1\rangle}$.
Now we define the ideals $C_1,\ C_2, \cdots, C_{2k}$ associated to C as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= \underbrace{\mathrm{Res}\cdots\mathrm{Res}}_{k\ times}(C) = C \bmod \langle u, v\rangle = \langle g_1(x)\rangle\\
C_2 &= \mathrm{Tor}\underbrace{\mathrm{Res}\cdots\mathrm{Res}}_{k-1\ times}(C) = \{f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \mid uf(x) \in C \bmod \langle u^2, v\rangle\} = \langle g_2(x)\rangle\\
&\vdots\\
C_i &= \mathrm{Tor}\underbrace{\mathrm{Res}\cdots\mathrm{Res}}_{k-i+1\ times}(C) = \{f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \mid u^{i-1}f(x) \in C \bmod \langle u^i, v\rangle\}\\
&= \langle g_i(x)\rangle\\
&\vdots\\
C_{k-1} &= \mathrm{TorResRes}(C) = \{f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \mid u^{k-2}f(x) \in C \bmod \langle u^{k-1}, v\rangle\}\\
&= \langle g_{k-1}(x)\rangle\\
C_k &= \mathrm{TorRes}(C) = \{f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \mid u^{k-1}f(x) \in C \bmod \langle v\rangle\} = \langle g_k(x)\rangle\\
C_{k+1} &= \underbrace{\mathrm{Res}\cdots\mathrm{Res}}_{k-1\ times}\mathrm{Tor}(C) = \{f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \mid vf(x) \in C \bmod \langle uv\rangle\}\\
&= \langle g_{k+1}(x)\rangle\\
C_{k+2} &= \mathrm{Tor}\underbrace{\mathrm{Res}\cdots\mathrm{Res}}_{k-2\ times}\mathrm{Tor}(C) = \{f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \mid uvf(x) \in C \bmod \langle u^2v\rangle\}\\
&= \langle g_{k+2}(x)\rangle\\
&\vdots\\
C_{k+i} &= \mathrm{Tor}\underbrace{\mathrm{Res}\cdots\mathrm{Res}}_{k-i\ times}\mathrm{Tor}(C) = \{f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \mid u^{i-1}vf(x) \in C \bmod \langle u^iv\rangle\}\\
&= \langle g_{k+i}(x)\rangle\\
&\vdots\\
C_{2k-1} &= \mathrm{TorResTor}(C) = \{f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \mid u^{k-2}vf(x) \in C \bmod \langle u^{k-1}v\rangle\}\\
&= \langle g_{2k-1}(x)\rangle\\
C_{2k} &= \mathrm{TorTor}(C) = \{f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \mid u^{k-1}vf(x) \in C\} = \langle g_{2k}(x)\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Here all C_i's are ideals of $\frac{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}{\langle x^n-1\rangle}$. Throughout this paper we use $C_1,\ C_2, \cdots, C_{2k}$ for above ideals.

Theorem 3.1. *Any ideal C of the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p,n}$ is uniquely generated by the polynomials $A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_{2k}$ with $g_{ij}(x)$ are zero polynomials or $deg(g_{ij}(x)) < deg(g_{j+1}(x))$ for $1 \leq i \leq (2k-1)$, $i \leq j \leq (2k-1)$ where A_i and $g_{ij}(x)$'s are defined as on page 5 (see page 5).*

Proof. We prove the degree result for $g_{1j}(x)$, where $1 \leq j \leq 2k-1$. Others are similar. Let $A_1 \neq 0$ and $\deg(g_{11}(x)) \geq \deg(g_2(x))$. Then by division algorithm, we have $g_{11}(x) = q_1(x)g_2(x) + r_1(x)$, where $\deg(r_1(x)) < \deg(g_2(x))$ or $r_1(x) = 0$. Now $A_1 - q_1(x)A_2 = g_1(x) + ur_1(x) + u^2(g_{12}(x) - q_1(x)g_{22}(x)) + u^3(g_{13}(x) - q_1(x)g_{23}(x)) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(g_{1(k-1)}(x) - q_1(x)g_{2(k-1)}(x)) + v((g_{1k}(x) - q_1(x)g_{2k}(x)) + u(g_{1(k+1)}(x) - q_1(x)g_{2(k+1)}(x)) + u^2(g_{1(k+2)}(x) - q_1(x)g_{2(k+2)}(x)) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(g_{1(2k-1)}(x) - q_1(x)g_{2(2k-1)}(x)))$. If $\deg(g_{12}(x) - q_1(x)g_{22}(x)) \geq \deg(g_3(x))$, then by division algorithm, $g_{12}(x) - q_1(x)g_{22}(x) = q_2(x)g_3(x) + r_2(x)$, where $\deg(r_2(x)) < \deg(g_3(x))$ or $r_2(x) = 0$. We have $A_1 - q_1(x)A_2 - q_2(x)A_3 = g_1(x) + ur_1(x) + u^2r_2(x) + u^3(g_{13}(x) - q_1(x)g_{23}(x) - q_2(x)g_{33}(x)) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(g_{1(k-1)}(x) - q_1(x)g_{2(k-1)}(x) - q_2(x)g_{3(k-1)}(x)) + v((g_{1k}(x) - q_1(x)g_{2k}(x) - q_2(x)g_{3k}(x)) + u(g_{1(k+1)}(x) - q_1(x)g_{2(k+1)}(x) - q_2(x)g_{3(k+1)}(x)) + u^2(g_{1(k+2)}(x) - q_1(x)g_{2(k+2)}(x) - q_2(x)g_{3(k+2)}(x)) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(g_{1(2k-1)}(x) - q_1(x)g_{2(2k-1)}(x) - q_2(x)g_{3(2k-1)}(x)))$. Proceeding in this way, after $2k-2$ times, we get $A_1 - q_1(x)A_2 - q_2(x)A_3 - \cdots - q_{2k-2}(x)A_{2k-1} = g_1(x) + ur_1(x) + u^2r_2(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}r_{k-1}(x) + v(r_k(x) + ur_{k+1}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-2}r_{2k-2}(x) + u^{k-1}(g_{1(2k-1)} - q_1(x)g_{2(2k-1)}(x) - q_2(x)g_{3(2k-1)} - \cdots - q_{2k-2}(x)g_{(2k-1)(2k-1)}(x)))$. If $\deg(g_{1(2k-1)} - q_1(x)g_{2(2k-1)}(x) - q_2(x)g_{3(2k-1)} - \cdots - q_{2k-2}(x)g_{(2k-1)(2k-1)}(x)) \geq \deg(g_{2k}(x))$, then again by division algorithm, $g_{1(2k-1)} - q_1(x)g_{2(2k-1)}(x) - q_2(x)g_{3(2k-1)} - \cdots - q_{2k-2}(x)g_{(2k-1)(2k-1)}(x) = q_{2k-1}(x)g_{2k}(x) + r_{2k-1}(x)$, where $\deg(r_{2k-1}(x)) < \deg(g_{2k}(x))$or $r_{2k-1}(x) = 0$. Now $A_1 - q_1(x)A_2 - q_2(x)A_3 - \cdots - q_{2k-2}(x)A_{2k-1} - q_{2k-1}(x)A_{2k} = g_1(x) + ur_1(x) + u^2r_2(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}r_{k-1}(x) + v(r_k(x) + ur_{k+1}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-2}r_{2k-2}(x) + u^{k-1}r_{2k-1}(x))$. This polynomial satisfies the required properties of the theorem and also the polynomial A_1 can be replaced by this polynomial. Now we have to prove that the polynomials A_i's are unique. Here again, we prove the uniqueness only for polynomial A_1. Others are similar. If possible, let $A_1 = g_1(x) + ug_{11}(x) + u^2g_{12}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{1(k-1)}(x) + v(g_{1k}(x) + ug_{1(k+1)}(x) + u^2g_{1(k+2)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{1(2k-1)}(x))$ and $B_1 = g_1(x) + ug'_{11}(x) + u^2g'_{12}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g'_{1(k-1)} + v(g'_{1k}(x) + ug'_{1(k+1)}(x) + u^2g'_{1(k+2)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g'_{1(2k-1)}(x))$ are two polynomials with same properties in C. Hence, $A_1 - B_1 = u(g_{11}(x) - g'_{11}(x)) + u^2(g_{12}(x) - g'_{12}(x)) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(g_{1(k-1)}(x) - g'_{1(k-1)}(x)) + v((g_{1k}(x) - g'_{1k}(x)) + u(g_{1(k+1)}(x) - g'_{1(k+1)}(x)) + u^2(g_{1(k+2)}(x) - g'_{1(k+2)}(x)) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(g_{1(2k-1)}(x) - g'_{1(2k-1)}(x)))$. We have $A_1 - B_1 \in C$ which implies that $g_{11}(x) - g'_{11}(x) \in C_2 = \langle g_2(x) \rangle$. Previously we have proved that the degree of both $g_{11}(x)$ and $g'_{11}(x)$ is less than degree of $g_2(x)$. Hence, $\deg(g_{11}(x) - g'_{11}(x)) < \deg(g_2(x))$. But $g_2(x)$ is the minimum degree polynomial in C_2, which implies that $g_{11}(x) - g'_{11}(x) = 0$. This gives $g_{11}(x) = g'_{11}(x)$. Now $A_1 - B_1 = u^2(g_{12}(x) - g'_{12}(x)) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(g_{1(k-1)}(x) - g'_{1(k-1)}(x)) + v((g_{1k}(x) - g'_{1k}(x)) + u(g_{1(k+1)}(x) - g'_{1(k+1)}(x)) + u^2(g_{1(k+2)}(x) - g'_{1(k+2)}(x)) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(g_{1(2k-1)}(x) - g'_{1(2k-1)}(x)))$. We have $A_1 - B_1 \in C$ which implies that $g_{12}(x) - g'_{12}(x) \in C_3 = \langle g_3(x)\rangle$. Again, we have already proved that the degrees of $g_{12}(x)$ and $g'_{12}(x)$ is less than degree of $g_3(x)$. Hence, $\deg(g_{12}(x) - g'_{12}(x)) < \deg(g_3(x))$, which implies that $g_{12}(x) - g'_{12}(x) = 0$. This gives $g_{12}(x) = g'_{12}(x)$. Similarly we can show $g_{1i}(x) = g'_{1i}(x)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq (2k-1)$. Hence, $A_1 - B_1 = 0$. Thus $A_1 = B_1$. Thus A_1 is unique. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $C = \langle A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_{2k}\rangle$ be an ideal of the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p,n}$. Then the following relations hold in the ring $\frac{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}{\langle x^n-1\rangle}$.*

$$g_{2k}(x)|g_{2k-1}(x)|\cdots|g_{k+2}(x)|g_{k+1}(x) \text{ and } g_k(x)|g_{k-1}(x)|\cdots|g_2(x)|g_1(x)|(x^n-1), \tag{2}$$

$$g_{k+i}(x)|g_i(x), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k, \tag{3}$$

$$g_{i+1}(x)|\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}g_{ii}(x), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 2k-1, \tag{4}$$

For a fix j, where $1 \leq j \leq 2k-1$,

$$g_{i+j}(x)|\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}\cdots\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+j-1}(x)}g_{i(i+j-1)}(x), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 2k-j \tag{5}$$

$$g_{k+i}(x)|g_{(k-(i-2))k}(x), \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq k, \tag{6}$$

$g_{k+i+1}(x)|r_{ii}(x)$, for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, where

$$r_{ii}(x) = g_{ii}(x) - \frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)}g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x), \tag{7}$$

$g_{k+i+j+1}(x)|r_{i(i+j)}(x)$, for $1 \leq i \leq k-2$ and $1 \leq j \leq k-i-1$, where

$$r_{i(i+j)}(x) = g_{i(i+j)}(x) - \frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)}g_{(k+i)(k+i+j)}(x) - \sum_{l=1}^{j}\frac{r_{i(i+l-1)}(x)}{g_{k+i+l}(x)}g_{(k+i+l)(k+i+j)}(x) \tag{8}$$

and

$$g_{i+j+1}(x)|\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}s_{i(i+j)}(x), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 2k-2 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq 2k-i-1,$$

where $s_{ii}(x) = g_{ii}(x)$ and $s_{i(i+j)}(x) = g_{i(i+j)}(x) - \sum_{l=1}^{j}\frac{s_{i(i+l-1)}(x)}{g_{i+l}(x)}g_{(i+l)(i+j)}(x)$.

$$\tag{9}$$

Proof. (2) For $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, we have $uA_i \in C$. Therefore, $g_i(x) \in C_{i+1} = \langle g_{i+1}(x)\rangle$. This gives $g_{i+1}(x)|g_i(x)$. Again, for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, we have $uA_{k+i} \in C$. Therefore, $g_{k+i}(x) \in C_{k+i+1} = \langle g_{k+i+1}(x)\rangle$. Thus, $g_{k+i+1}(x)|g_{k+i}(x)$.

(3) For $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have $vA_i \in C$. This gives $g_i(x) \in C_{k+i} = \langle g_{k+i}(x)\rangle$. Thus, $g_{k+i}(x)|g_i(x)$.

(4) For $1 \leq i \leq 2k-1$, we have $\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}A_i \in C$. Therefore, $\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}g_{ii}(x) \in C_{i+1} = \langle g_{i+1}(x)\rangle$. Hence, $g_{i+1}(x)|\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}g_{ii}(x)$.

(5) For $j = 1$, Condition 5 is reduced to Condition 4. For $j = 2$ and for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have $\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}A_i = u^i\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{ii}(x) + u^{i+1}\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{i(i+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{i(k-1)}(x) + v(\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{ik}(x) + u\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{i(k+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{i(2k-1)}(x))$. From Condition 4, we have $g_{i+1}(x)|\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}g_{ii}(x)$. Therefore the coefficient of u^i is $\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{ii}(x) = \frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}(\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}g_{ii}(x)) = 0$. Thus, $\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}A_i = u^{i+1}\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{i(i+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{i(k-1)}(x) + v(\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{ik}(x) + u\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{i(k+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{i(2k-1)}(x))$. This gives $\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{i(i+1)}(x) \in C_{i+2} = \langle g_{i+2}(x)\rangle$. Hence, we have $g_{i+2}(x)|\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{i(i+1)}(x)$. Now for $k+1 \leq i \leq 2k-2$. Let $i = k+l$ for $1 \leq l \leq k-2$. We have $\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l}(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l+1}(x)}A_{k+l} = v(u^l\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l}(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l+1}(x)}g_{(k+l)(k+l)}(x) + u^{l+1}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l}(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l+1}(x)}g_{(k+l)(k+l+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l}(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l+1}(x)}g_{(k+l)(2k-1)}(x))$. From Condition 4, $g_{k+l+1}(x)|\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l}(x)}g_{(k+l)(k+l)}(x)$. Therefore, the coefficient of u^lv is $\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l}(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l+1}(x)}g_{(k+l)(k+l)}(x) = \frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l+1}(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l}(x)}g_{(k+l)(k+l)}(x) = 0$. This gives $\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l}(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l+1}(x)}g_{(k+l)(k+l+1)}(x) \in C_{k+l+2} = \langle g_{k+l+2}(x)\rangle$. Hence, we have $g_{k+l+2}(x)|\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l}(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l+1}(x)}g_{(k+l)(k+l+1)}(x)$. Since $i = k+l$, thus $g_{i+2}(x)|\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{i(i+1)}(x)$, for $k+1 \leq i \leq 2k-2$. This proves the condition for $j = 2$. Similarly for others value of j we can prove the Conditions 5.

(6) We have $A_i = u^{i-1}g_i(x) + u^ig_{ii}(x) + u^{i+1}g_{i(i+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{i(k-1)}(x) + v(g_{ik}(x) + ug_{i(k+1)}(x) + u^2g_{i(k+2)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{i(2k-1)}(x))$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Therefore, $A_{k-(i-2)} = u^{k-(i-1)}g_{k-(i-2)}(x) + u^{k-(i-2)}g_{(k-(i-2))(k-(i-2))}(x) + u^{k-(i-3)}g_{(k-(i-2))(k-(i-3))}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{(k-(i-2))(k-1)}(x) + v(g_{(k-(i-2))k}(x) + ug_{(k-(i-2))(k+1)}(x) + u^2g_{(k-(i-2))(k+2)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{(k-(i-2))(2k-1)}(x))$, for $2 \leq i \leq k$. Thus, $u^{i-1}A_{k-(i-2)} = v(u^{i-1}g_{(k-(i-2))k}(x) + u^ig_{(k-(i-2))(k+1)}(x) + u^{i+1}g_{(k-(i-2))(k+2)}(x) + \cdots + u^{i+(k-i-2)}g_{(k-(i-2))(2k-i-1)}(x) + u^{i+(k-i-1)}g_{(k-(i-2))(2k-i)}(x)) \in C$. This implies that $g_{(k-(i-2))k}(x) \in C_{k+i} = \langle g_{k+i}(x)\rangle$. Hence, the condition $g_{k+i}(x)|g_{(k-(i-2))k}(x)$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$ is proved.

(7) For $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, $A_i = u^{i-1}g_i(x) + u^ig_{ii}(x) + u^{i+1}g_{i(i+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{i(k-1)}(x) + v(g_{ik}(x) + ug_{i(k+1)}(x) + u^2g_{i(k+2)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{i(2k-1)}(x))$ and $A_{k+i} = v(u^{i-1}g_{k+i}(x) + u^ig_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x) + u^{i+1}g_{(k+i)(k+i+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x))$. Now $vA_i - \frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)}A_{k+i} = v(u^i(g_{ii}(x) - \frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)}g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)) + u^{i+1}(g_{i(i+1)}(x) - \frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)}g_{(k+i)(k+i+1)}(x)) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(g_{i(k-1)}(x) - \frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)}g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x))) \in C$. This implies that $g_{ii}(x) -$

$\frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)} g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x) \in C_{k+i+1} = \langle g_{k+i+1}(x) \rangle$. Hence, $g_{k+i+1}(x) | g_{ii}(x) - \frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)} g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)$. That is $g_{k+i+1}(x) | r_{ii}(x)$, where $r_{ii}(x) = g_{ii}(x) - \frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)} g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)$.

(8) From the proof of Condition 7 we have $vA_i - \frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)} A_{k+i} = v(u^i(g_{ii}(x) - \frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)} g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)) + u^{i+1}(g_{i(i+1)}(x) - \frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)} g_{(k+i)(k+i+1)}(x)) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(g_{i(k-1)}(x) - \frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)} g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x)))$. Now $vA_i - \frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)} A_{k+i} - \frac{r_{ii}(x)}{g_{k+i+1}(x)} A_{k+i+1} = v(u^{i+1}(g_{i(i+1)}(x) - \frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)} g_{(k+i)(k+i+1)}(x) - \frac{r_{ii}(x)}{g_{k+i+1}(x)} g_{(k+i+1)(k+i+1)}(x)) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(g_{i(k-1)}(x) - \frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)} g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x) - \frac{r_{ii}(x)}{g_{k+i+1}(x)} g_{(k+i+1)(2k-1)}(x))) \in C$. This implies that $g_{i(i+1)}(x) - \frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)} g_{(k+i)(k+i+1)}(x) - \frac{r_{ii}(x)}{g_{k+i+1}(x)} g_{(k+i+1)(k+i+1)}(x) \in C_{k+i+2} = \langle g_{k+i+2}(x) \rangle$. Hence, $g_{k+i+2}(x) | g_{i(i+1)}(x) - \frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)} g_{(k+i)(k+i+1)}(x) - \frac{r_{ii}(x)}{g_{k+i+1}(x)} g_{(k+i+1)(k+i+1)}(x)$. That is $g_{k+i+2}(x) | r_{i(i+1)}(x)$, where $r_{i(i+1)}(x) = g_{i(i+1)}(x) - \frac{g_i(x)}{g_{k+i}(x)} g_{(k+i)(k+i+1)}(x) - \frac{r_{ii}(x)}{g_{k+i+1}(x)} g_{(k+i+1)(k+i+1)}(x)$. We have shown for $j = 1$. Similarly we can show for other value of j.

(9) For $1 \leq i \leq k$, $A_i = u^{i-1} g_i(x) + u^i g_{ii}(x) + u^{i+1} g_{i(i+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1} g_{i(k-1)}(x) + v(g_{ik}(x) + u g_{i(k+1)}(x) + u^2 g_{i(k+2)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1} g_{i(2k-1)}(x))$ and $A_{k+i} = v(u^{i-1} g_{k+i}(x) + u^i g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x) + u^{i+1} g_{(k+i)(k+i+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1} g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x))$. First we prove the condition for $j = 1$. For $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, We can write for $\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} A_i - \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} \frac{g_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)} A_{i+1} = u^{i+1}(\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} g_{i(i+1)}(x) - \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} \frac{g_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)} g_{(i+1)(i+1)}(x)) + u^{i+2}(\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} g_{i(i+2)}(x) - \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} \frac{g_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)} g_{(i+1)(i+2)}(x)) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} g_{i(k-1)}(x) - \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} \frac{g_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)} g_{(i+1)(k-1)}(x)) + v((\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} g_{ik}(x) - \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} \frac{g_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)} g_{(i+1)k}(x)) + u(\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} g_{i(k+1)}(x) - \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} \frac{g_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)} g_{(i+1)(k+1)}(x)) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} g_{i(2k-1)}(x) - \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} \frac{g_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)} g_{(i+1)(2k-1)}(x)))$. Hence, $\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} g_{i(i+1)}(x) - \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} \frac{g_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)} g_{(i+1)(i+1)}(x) \in C_{i+2} = \langle g_{i+2}(x) \rangle$. Therefore, $g_{i+2}(x) | \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} (g_{i(i+1)}(x) - \frac{g_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)} g_{(i+1)(i+1)}(x))$, for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Similarly by calculating $\frac{x^n-1}{g_k(x)} A_k - \frac{x^n-1}{g_k(x)} \frac{g_{kk}(x)}{g_{k+1}(x)} A_{k+1}$ and $\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l}(x)} A_{k+l} - \frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l}(x)} \frac{g_{(k+l)(k+l)}(x)}{g_{k+l+1}(x)} A_{k+l+1}$, for $1 \leq l \leq k-1$ we can show $g_{i+2}(x) | \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} (g_{i(i+1)}(x) - \frac{g_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)} g_{(i+1)(i+1)}(x))$, for $k \leq i \leq 2k-2$. This implies that $g_{i+2}(x) | \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} s_{i(i+1)}(x)$, where $s_{ii}(x) = g_{ii}(x)$ and $s_{i(i+1)}(x) = g_{i(i+1)}(x) - \frac{s_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)} g_{(i+1)(i+1)}(x)$. Now we prove for $j = 2$. For $1 \leq i \leq k-2$ we can write $\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} A_i - \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} \frac{s_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)} A_{i+1} - \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} \frac{s_{i(i+1)}(x)}{g_{i+2}(x)} A_{i+2} = u^{i+2} \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} (g_{i(i+2)}(x) - \frac{s_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)} g_{(i+1)(i+2)}(x) - \frac{s_{i(i+1)}}{g_{i+2}(x)} g_{(i+2)(i+2)}(x)) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} g_{i(k-1)}(x) - \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} \frac{s_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)} g_{(i+1)(k-1)}(x) - \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} \frac{s_{i(i+1)}(x)}{g_{i+2}(x)} g_{(i+2)(k-1)}(x)) + v((\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} g_{ik}(x) - \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} \frac{s_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)} g_{(i+1)k}(x) - \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} \frac{s_{i(i+1)}(x)}{g_{i+2}(x)} g_{(i+2)k}(x)) + u(\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} g_{i(k+1)}(x) - \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}$

$\frac{s_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{(i+1)(k+1)}(x) - \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{s_{i(i+1)}(x)}{g_{i+2}(x)}g_{(i+2)(k+1)}(x)) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} g_{i(2k-1)}(x) - \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}\frac{s_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{(i+1)(2k-1)}(x) - \frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)} \frac{s_{i(i+1)}(x)}{g_{i+2}(x)}g_{(i+2)(2k-1)}(x)))$. Therefore, $\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}(g_{i(i+2)}(x)-\frac{s_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{(i+1)(i+2)}(x)-\frac{s_{i(i+1)}(x)}{g_{i+2}(x)}g_{(i+2)(i+2)}(x)) \in C_{i+3} = \langle g_{i+3}(x)\rangle$. Hence, $g_{i+3}(x)|\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}(g_{i(i+2)}(x) - \frac{s_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{(i+1)(i+2)}(x) - \frac{s_{i(i+1)}(x)}{g_{i+2}(x)}g_{(i+2)(i+2)}(x))$ or $g_{i+3}(x)|\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}s_{i(i+2)}(x)$, where $s_{i(i+2)}(x) = (g_{i(i+2)}(x) - \frac{s_{ii}(x)}{g_{i+1}(x)}g_{(i+1)(i+2)}(x) - \frac{s_{i(i+1)}(x)}{g_{i+2}(x)}g_{(i+2)(i+2)}(x))$. Similarly by calculating $\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k-1}(x)}A_{k-1} - \frac{x^n-1}{g_{k-1}(x)}\frac{s_{(k-1)(k-1)}(x)}{g_k(x)}A_k - \frac{x^n-1}{g_{k-1}(x)}\frac{s_{(k-1)k}(x)}{g_{k+1}(x)}A_{k+1}$, $\frac{x^n-1}{g_k(x)}A_k - \frac{x^n-1}{g_k(x)}\frac{s_{kk}(x)}{g_{k+1}(x)}A_{k+1} - \frac{x^n-1}{g_k(x)}\frac{s_{k(k+1)}(x)}{g_{k+2}(x)}A_{k+2}$ and $\frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l}(x)}A_{k+l} - \frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l}(x)} \frac{s_{(k+l)(k+l)}(x)}{g_{k+l+1}(x)}A_{k+l+1} - \frac{x^n-1}{g_{k+l}(x)}\frac{s_{(k+l)(k+l+1)}(x)}{g_{k+l+2}(x)}A_{k+l+2}$, for $1 \leq l \leq k-2$ we can show $g_{i+3}(x)|\frac{x^n-1}{g_i(x)}s_{i(i+2)}(x)$, for $k-1 \leq i \leq 2k-2$. By the same fashion we can prove the Condition 9 for the others value of j.

□

The following theorem characterizes the free cyclic codes over $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$.

Theorem 3.3. *If $C = \langle A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_{2k}\rangle$ is a cyclic code over the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$, then C is a free cyclic code if and only if $g_1(x) = g_{2k}(x)$. In this case, we have $C = \langle A_1\rangle$ and $A_1|(x^n-1)$ in $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$.*

Proof. Let $g_1(x) = g_{2k}(x)$. From Condition 2 and condition 3 of Theorem 3.2 we have $g_{2k}(x)|g_{2k-1}(x)|\cdots|g_{k+2}(x)|g_{k+1}(x)$, $g_k(x)|g_{k-1}(x)|\cdots|g_2(x)|g_1(x)$ and $g_{k+i}(x)|g_i(x)$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, this gives $g_1(x) = g_2(x) = \cdots = g_{2k}(x)$. Here, we have $\mathrm{Im}\phi = \langle g_1(x)+ug_{11}(x)+\cdots+u^{k-1}g_{1(k-1)}(x), ug_2(x)+u^2g_{22}(x)+\cdots+u^{k-1}g_{2(k-1)}(x), \cdots, u^{k-2}g_{k-1}(x) + u^{k-1}g_{(k-1)(k-1)}(x), u^{k-1}g_k(x)\rangle$ and $\ker\phi = v\langle g_{k+1}(x)+ug_{(k+1)(k+1)}(x)+\cdots+u^{k-1}g_{(k+1)(2k-1)}(x), ug_{k+2}(x)+u^2g_{(k+2)(k+2)}(x)+\cdots+u^{k-1}g_{(k+2)(2k-1)}(x), \cdots, u^{k-2}g_{2k-1}(x)+u^{k-1}g_{(2k-1)(2k-1)}(x), u^{k-1}g_{2k}(x)\rangle$. (See Equation 1 for the definition of ϕ). From the Theorem 3.3 of [20], we get that if $g_1(x) = g_k(x)$ then $\mathrm{Im}\phi = \langle g_1(x) + ug_{11}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{1(k-1)}(x)\rangle$ and if $g_{k+1}(x) = g_{2k}(x)$ then $\ker\phi = v\langle g_{k+1}(x) + ug_{(k+1)(k+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{(k+1)(2k-1)}(x)\rangle$. Therefore, we can write $C = \langle g_1(x) + ug_{11}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{1(k-1)}(x) + v(g_{1k}(x) + ug_{1(k+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{1(2k-1)}(x)), v(g_{k+1}(x) + ug_{(k+1)(k+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{(k+1)(2k-1)}(x))\rangle$. Now we show that $g_{1i}(x) = g_{(k+1)(k+i)}(x)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. We can write $vA_1 - \frac{g_1(x)}{g_{k+1}(x)}A_{k+1} = uv(g_{11}(x) - \frac{g_1(x)}{g_{k+1}(x)}g_{(k+1)(k+1)}(x))+u^2v(g_{12}(x)-\frac{g_1(x)}{g_{k+1}(x)}g_{(k+1)(k+2)}(x))+\cdots+u^{k-1}v(g_{1(k-1)}(x)-\frac{g_1(x)}{g_{k+1}(x)}g_{(k+1)(2k-1)}(x)) \in C$. This implies that $g_{11}(x) - \frac{g_1(x)}{g_{k+1}(x)}g_{(k+1)(k+1)}(x) \in C_{k+2} = \langle g_{k+2}(x)\rangle$. This gives $g_{k+2}(x)|(g_{11}(x) - \frac{g_1(x)}{g_{k+1}(x)}g_{(k+1)(k+1)}(x))$. Since $g_1(x) = g_{k+1}(x) = g_{k+2}(x)$, we get $g_1(x)|(g_{11}(x) - g_{(k+1)(k+1)}(x))$. Note that $\deg(g_{11}(x)), \deg(g_{(k+1)(k+1)}(x)) < \deg(g_1(x))$, this implies that $g_{11}(x) - g_{(k+1)(k+1)}(x) = 0$. Therefore, $g_{11}(x) = g_{(k+1)(k+1)}(x)$. Hence, $vA_1-\frac{g_1(x)}{g_{k+1}(x)}A_{k+1} = u^2v(g_{12}(x) - \frac{g_1(x)}{g_{k+1}(x)}g_{(k+1)(k+2)}(x)) + u^3v(g_{13}(x) - \frac{g_1(x)}{g_{k+1}(x)}g_{(k+1)(k+3)}(x)) + \cdots +$

$u^{k-1}v(g_{1(k-1)}(x) - \frac{g_1(x)}{g_{k+1}(x)}g_{(k+1)(2k-1)}(x)) \in C$. Again, from the above expression it is easy to see that $g_{12}(x) - \frac{g_1(x)}{g_{k+1}(x)}g_{(k+1)(k+2)}(x) \in C_{k+3} = \langle g_{k+3}(x)\rangle$. In a similar way, as above we can show $g_{12}(x) = g_{(k+1)(k+2)}(x)$. By continuing this way, we can show $g_{1i}(x) = g_{(k+1)(k+i)}(x)$ for $3 \leq i \leq k-1$. This implies that $vA_1 = A_{k+1}$. This gives $C = \langle g_1(x) + ug_{11}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{1(k-1)}(x) + v(g_{1k}(x) + ug_{1(k+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{1(2k-1)}(x))\rangle$ and $C \simeq R_{u^k,v^2,p}^{n-\deg(g_1(x))}$. Hence, C is a free cyclic code. Conversely, if C is a free cyclic code, we must have $C = \langle g_1(x) + ug_{11}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{1(k-1)}(x) + v(g_{1k}(x) + ug_{1(k+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{1(2k-1)}(x))\rangle$. Since $u^{k-1}vg_{2k}(x) \in C$, we get $u^{k-1}vg_{2k}(x) = u^{k-1}v\ \alpha g_1(x)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Note that $g_{2k}(x)|g_1(x)$, hence by comparing the coefficients both sides, we get $g_1(x) = g_{2k}(x)$. For the second condition, by division algorithm, we have $x^n - 1 = A_1 q(x) + r(x)$, where $r(x) = 0$ or $\deg(r(x)) < \deg(g_1(x))$. This implies that $r(x) = (x^n - 1) - A_1 q(x) \in C$. Note that A_1 is the lowest degree polynomial in C. So $r(x) = 0$. Hence, $A_1|(x^n - 1)$ in $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$. □

Note that we get the simpler form for the generators of the cyclic code over $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$, like in the above theorem, if we have $g_1(x) = g_2(x) = \cdots = g_i(x)$, for $2 \leq i \leq 2k-1$ and $g_{2k}(x) = g_{2k-1}(x) = \cdots = g_i(x)$ for $2 \leq i \leq 2k-1$.

3.1. When n is relatively prime to p.

Let n be relatively prime to p. If $C = \langle A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_{2k}\rangle$ is a cyclic code of length n over the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$ then we have $\mathrm{Im}\phi = \langle g_1(x) + ug_{11}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{1(k-1)}(x), ug_2(x) + u^2g_{22}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{2(k-1)}(x), \cdots, u^{k-2}g_{k-1}(x) + u^{k-1}g_{(k-1)(k-1)}(x), u^{k-1}g_k(x)\rangle$ and $\ker\phi = v\langle g_{k+1}(x) + ug_{(k+1)(k+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{(k+1)(2k-1)}(x), ug_{k+2}(x) + u^2g_{(k+2)(k+2)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{(k+2)(2k-1)}(x), \cdots, u^{k-2}g_{2k-1}(x) + u^{k-1}g_{(2k-1)(2k-1)}(x), u^{k-1}g_{2k}(x)\rangle$. (See Equation 1 for the definition of ϕ). Since n is relatively prime to p then from Theorem 3.4 of [20], we have $\mathrm{Im}\phi = \langle g_1(x) + ug_2(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_k(x)\rangle$ and $\ker\phi = v\langle g_{k+1}(x) + ug_{k+2}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{2k}(x)\rangle$ with $g_{2k}(x)|g_{2k-1}(x)| \cdots |g_{k+2}(x)|g_{k+1}(x)$ and $g_k(x)|g_{k-1}(x)|\cdots|g_2(x)|g_1(x)$. We also have the condition $g_{k+i}(x)|g_i(x)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ from Condition 3 of Theorem 3.2. Therefore, the code C can be written as $C = \langle g_1(x) + ug_2(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_k(x) + v(g_{1k}(x) + ug_{1(k+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{1(2k-1)}(x)), v(g_{k+1}(x) + ug_{k+2}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{2k}(x))\rangle$ with the same conditions as above on $g_i(x)$'s. From Condition 5 of Theorem 3.2, for $i = 1$ and $k \leq j \leq 2k-1$, we get $g_{j+1}(x)|\frac{x^n-1}{g_1(x)}\frac{x^n-1}{g_2(x)}\cdots\frac{x^n-1}{g_j(x)}g_{1j}(x)$. Since n is relatively prime to p, $x^n - 1$ can be uniquely factored as product of distinct irreducible factors. Therefore, we must have g.c.d. $\left(g_{j+1}(x), \frac{x^n-1}{g_l(x)}\right) = 1$, for $1 \leq l \leq j$. This gives $g_{j+1}(x)|g_{1j}(x)$. From Theorem 3.1, we have $\deg(g_{1j}(x)) < \deg(g_{j+1}(x))$, for $k \leq j \leq 2k-1$. This gives $g_{1j}(x) = 0$, for $k \leq j \leq 2k-1$. Thus we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $C = \langle A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_{2k}\rangle$ be a cyclic code over the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$ of length n. If n is relatively prime to p, then we have $C = \langle g_1(x) + ug_2(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_k(x), v(g_{k+1}(x) + ug_{k+2}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{2k}(x))\rangle$ with the* *condition* $g_{2k}(x)|g_{2k-1}(x)| \cdots |g_{k+2}(x)|g_{k+1}(x)$, $g_k(x)|g_{k-1}(x)|\cdots|g_2(x)|g_1(x)$ *and* $g_{k+i}(x)|g_i(x)$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq k$.

4. RANKS AND MINIMAL SPANNING SETS

In this section, we find the rank and minimal spanning set of a cyclic code C over the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$. We follow Dougherty and Shiromoto [14, page 401] for the definition of the rank of a code C. We first prove the number of lemmas that we use to find the rank and minimal spanning set of these cyclic codes.

Let $C = \langle A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_{2k}\rangle$ be a cyclic code over the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$ (see page 5 for A_i's). We know that $C_i = \langle g_i(x)\rangle$, for $1 \leq i \leq 2k$ (see page 6). Let $t_i = \deg(g_i(x))$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2k$. From Conditions 2 and Condition 3 of Theorem 3.2, we have $g_{2k}(x)|g_{2k-1}(x)|\cdots|g_{k+2}(x)|g_{k+1}(x)$, $g_k(x)|g_{k-1}(x)|\cdots|g_2(x)|g_1(x)$ and $g_{k+i}(x)|g_i(x)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Therefore, we get $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \cdots \geq t_k$, $t_{k+1} \geq t_{k+2} \geq \cdots \geq t_{2k}$ and $t_i \geq t_{k+i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $C = \langle A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_{2k}\rangle$ *be a cyclic code over the ring* $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$. *For* $1 \leq i \leq k$, *we get the following:*

(1) *Any polynomial in* C *of the form* $v(u^{i-1}p_0(x) + u^i p_1(x) + u^{i+1}p_2(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}p_{k-i}(x))$ *can be written as* $q_0(x)A_{k+i} + q_1(x)A_{k+i+1} + \cdots + q_{k-i-1}(x)A_{2k-1} + q_{k-i}(x)A_{2k}$ *and*

(2) *any polynomial in* C *of the form* $u^{i-1}p_0(x)+u^ip_1(x)+u^{i+1}p_2(x)+\cdots+u^{k-1}p_{k-i}(x)+v(p_{k+1}(x)+up_{k+2}(x)+u^2p_{k+3}(x)+\cdots+u^{k-1}p_{2k}(x))$ *can be written as* $q_0(x)A_i + q_1(x)A_{i+1} + \cdots + q_{k-i-1}(x)A_{k-1} + q_{k-i}(x)A_k + q_{k+1}(x)A_{k+1}+q_{k+2}(x)A_{k+2}+q_{k+3}(x)A_{k+3}+\cdots+q_{2k-1}(x)A_{2k-1}+q_{2k}(x)A_{2k}$

for some $q_0(x), q_1(x), \cdots, q_{k-i-1}(x), q_{k-i}(x), q_{k+1}(x), \cdots, q_{2k}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$.

Proof. (1) Let $A' = v(u^{i-1}p_0(x)+u^ip_1(x)+u^{i+1}p_2(x)+\cdots+u^{k-1}p_{k-i}(x)) \in C$. This implies that $p_0(x) \in C_{k+i} = \langle g_{k+i}(x)\rangle$. That is $g_{k+i}(x)|p_0(x)$, thus, $p_0(x) = q_0(x)g_{k+i}(x)$ for some $q_0(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{k+i} = v(u^{i-1}g_{k+i}(x) &+ u^i g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x) \\ &+ u^{i+1}g_{(k+i)(k+i+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A' - q_0(x)A_{k+i} &= v(u^i(p_1(x) - q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)) \\ &+ u^{i+1}(p_2(x) - q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(k+i+1)}(x)) + u^{i+2}(p_3(x) - q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(k+i+2)}(x)) \\ &+ \cdots + u^{k-1}(p_{k-i}(x) - q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $A', A_{k+i} \in C$ and C is an ideal, we get $A' - q_0(x)A_{k+i} \in C$. This implies that

$$p_1(x) - q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x) \in C_{k+i+1} = \langle g_{k+i+1}(x)\rangle.$$

Thus,

$$g_{k+i+1}(x)|(p_1(x) - q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)).$$

Therefore,

$$p_1(x) - q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x) = q_1(x)g_{k+i+1}(x)$$

for some $q_1(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. Again,

$$\begin{aligned} &A' - q_0(x)A_{k+i} - q_1(x)A_{k+i+1} \\ &\quad = v(u^{i+1}(p_2(x) - q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(k+i+1)}(x) - q_1(x)g_{(k+i+1)(k+i+1)}(x)) \\ &\quad\ + u^{i+2}(p_3(x) - q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(k+i+2)}(x) - q_1(x)g_{(k+i+1)(k+i+2)}(x)) \\ &\quad\ + \cdots + u^{k-1}(p_{k-i}(x) - q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x) - q_1(x)g_{(k+i+1)(2k-1)}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding in this way, after $k-i$ times we get

$$\begin{aligned} &A' - q_0(x)A_{k+i} - q_1(x)A_{k+i+1} - \cdots - q_{k-i-1}(x)A_{2k-1} \\ &\quad = vu^{k-1}(p_{k-i}(x) - q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x) - q_1(x)g_{(k+i+1)(2k-1)}(x) \\ &\qquad\qquad - \cdots - q_{k-i-1}(x)g_{(2k-1)(2k-1)}(x)) \in C, \end{aligned}$$

for some $q_2(x), q_3(x), \cdots, q_{k-i-1}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &p_{k-i}(x) - q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x) - q_1(x)g_{(k+i+1)(2k-1)}(x) \\ &\qquad - \cdots - q_{k-i-1}(x)g_{(2k-1)(2k-1)}(x) \in C_{2k} = \langle g_{2k}(x)\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned} &p_{k-i}(x) - q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x) - q_1(x)g_{(k+i+1)(2k-1)}(x) \\ &\qquad - \cdots - q_{k-i-1}(x)g_{(2k-1)(2k-1)}(x) = q_{k-i}(x)g_{2k}(x) \end{aligned}$$

for some $q_{k-i}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. Therefore,

$$A' - q_0(x)A_{k+i} - q_1(x)A_{k+i+1} - \cdots - q_{k-i-1}(x)A_{2k-1} - q_{k-i}(x)A_{2k} = 0.$$

This gives,

$$A' = q_0(x)A_{k+i} + q_1(x)A_{k+i+1} + \cdots + q_{k-i-1}(x)A_{2k-1} + q_{k-i}(x)A_{2k}.$$

This proves Statement 1.
(2) The proof is similar to 1. □

This lemma is referred in the proof of Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4.

Remark 4.2. *Note that in the proof of Statement 1 of Lemma* 4.1, *we have the following relation between* $p_j(x)$ *and* $q_j(x)$*'s, for* $0 \leq j \leq k-i$ *and* $1 \leq i \leq k$ *:* $p_0(x) = q_0(x)g_{k+i}(x)$, $p_1(x) - q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x) = q_1(x)g_{k+i+1}(x)$, $\cdots$ *and* $p_{k-i}(x) - q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x) - q_1(x)g_{(k+i+1)(2k-1)}(x) - \cdots - q_{k-i-1}(x)$ $g_{(2k-1)(2k-1)}(x) = q_{k-i}(x)g_{2k}(x)$.

This remark is referred in the proof of Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 4.3. *Let* $C = \langle A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_{2k}\rangle$ *be a cyclic code over the ring* $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$. $x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}A_{k+i}$, *for* $2 \leq i \leq k$, *can be written as* $x^{t_{k+i-1}t_{k+i}}A_{k+i} = c_{k+i-1}uA_{k+i-1} + q_0(x)A_{k+i} + q_1(x)A_{k+i+1} + q_2(x)A_{k+i+2} + \cdots + q_{k-i}(x)A_{2k}$, *for some* $c_{k+i-1} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ *and* $q_0(x), q_1(x), \cdots, q_{k-i}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ *with* $deg(q_0(x)) < t_{k+i-1} - t_{k+i}$, $deg(q_1(x)) < (t_{k+i-1} - t_{k+i})$ *or* $(t_{k+i} - t_{k+i+1})$, $deg(q_2(x)) < (t_{k+i-1} - t_{k+i})$ *or*

$(t_{k+i}-t_{k+i+1})$ or $(t_{k+i+1}-t_{k+i+2})$, $\cdots$ and deg$(q_{k-i}(x)) < (t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i})$ or $(t_{k+i}-t_{k+i+1})$ or $(t_{k+i+1}-t_{k+i+2})$ or $\cdots$ or $(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k})$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}A_{k+i} &= v(u^{i-1}g_{k+i}(x)+u^{i}g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)\\ &\qquad +u^{i+1}g_{(k+i)(k+i+1)}(x)+\cdots+u^{k-1}g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x))\\ &= u^{i-1}v(g_{k+i}(x)+ug_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)+u^{2}g_{(k+i)(k+i+1)}(x)\\ &\qquad +\cdots+u^{k-i}g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x))\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}A_{k+i-1} &= u^{i-2}v(g_{k+i-1}(x)+ug_{(k+i-1)(k+i-1)}(x)\\ &\qquad +u^{2}g_{(k+i-1)(k+i)}(x)+\cdots+u^{k-i+1}g_{(k+i-1)(2k-1)}(x)).\end{aligned}$$

Since the above two polynomials $g_{k+i}(x)+ug_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)+u^2g_{(k+i)(k+i+1)}(x)+\cdots+u^{k-i}g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x)$ and $g_{k+i-1}(x)+ug_{(k+i-1)(k+i-1)}(x)+u^2g_{(k+i-1)(k+i)}(x)+\cdots+u^{k-i+1}g_{(k+i-1)(2k-1)}(x)$ are regular, from Proposition 2.2 we can apply the division algorithm for these two polynomial. Let the leading coefficient of $x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}(g_{k+i}(x)+ug_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)+\cdots+u^{k-i}g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x))$ be α_i and of $g_{k+i-1}(x)+ug_{(k+i-1)(k+i-1)}(x)+\cdots+u^{k-i+1}g_{(k+i-1)(2k-1)}(x)$ be β_{i-1}. There exists a constant $c_{k+i-1}\in\mathbb{F}_p$ such that $\alpha_i=c_{k+i-1}\beta_{i-1}$. By the division algorithm, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}(g_{k+i}(x)+ug_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)+\cdots+u^{k-i}g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x))\\ &\quad= c_{k+i-1}(g_{k+i-1}(x)+ug_{(k+i-1)(k+i-1)}(x)+\cdots+u^{k-i+1}g_{(k+i-1)(2k-1)}(x))\\ &\qquad +(p_0(x)+up_1(x)+\cdots+u^{k-i+1}(x)p_{k-i+1}(x)),\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

where $p_0(x)+up_1(x)+\cdots+u^{k-i+1}(x)p_{k-i+1}(x)$ is the remainder term and $\deg(p_0(x))<\deg(g_{k+i-1}(x))=t_{k+i-1}$. This gives by comparing coefficient $p_0(x)=x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}g_{k+i}(x)-c_{k+i-1}g_{k+i-1}(x)$, $p_1(x)=x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)-c_{k+i-1}g_{(k+i-1)(k+i-1)}(x)$, $\cdots$, $p_{k-i}(x)=x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x)-c_{k+i-1}$ $g_{(k+i-1)(2k-2)}(x)$ and $p_{k-i+1}(x)=-c_{k+i-1}g_{(k+i-1)(2k-1)}(x)$. Multiplying both side of Equation 10 by $u^{i-1}v$ gives,

$$\begin{aligned}&x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}v(u^{i-1}g_{k+i}(x)+u^{i}g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)+\cdots+u^{k-1}g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x))\\ &= c_{k+i-1}vu(u^{i-2}g_{k+i-1}(x)+u^{i-1}g_{(k+i-1)(k+i-1)}(x)+\cdots+u^{k-1}g_{(k+i-1)(2k-1)}(x))\\ &\qquad +v(u^{i-1}p_0(x)+u^{i}p_1(x)+\cdots+u^{k-1}(x)p_{k-i}(x)).\end{aligned}$$

This can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}A_{k+i} &= c_{k+i-1}uA_{k+i-1}\\ &\qquad +v(u^{i-1}p_0(x)+u^{i}p_1(x)+\cdots+u^{k-1}(x)p_{k-i}(x)).\end{aligned}$$

That is

$$x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}A_{k+i} - c_{k+i-1}uA_{k+i-1} \\ = v(u^{i-1}p_0(x) + u^ip_1(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(x)p_{k-i}(x)).$$

Now $x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}A_{k+i}$ and $c_{k+i-1}uA_{k+i-1} \in C$, this implies that

$$x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}A_{k+i} - c_{k+i-1}uA_{k+i-1} \\ = v(u^{i-1}p_0(x) + u^ip_1(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(x)p_{k-i}(x)) \in C.$$

From Statement 1 of Lemma 4.1, we can write

$$v(u^{i-1}p_0(x) + u^ip_1(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(x)p_{k-i}(x)) \\ = q_0(x)A_{k+i} + q_1(x)A_{k+i+1} + \cdots + q_{k-i}(x)A_{2k}.$$

for some $q_0(x)$, $q_1(x)$, $\cdots$, $q_{k-i}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. Hence,

$$x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}A_{k+i} - c_{k+i-1}uA_{k+i-1} = q_0(x)A_{k+i} + q_1(x)A_{k+i+1} + \cdots + q_{k-i}(x)A_{2k},$$

that is,

$$x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}A_{k+i} = c_{k+i-1}uA_{k+i-1} + q_0(x)A_{k+i} + q_1(x)A_{k+i+1} + \cdots + q_{k-i}(x)A_{2k}.$$

This prove the first part of the lemma. Now we prove the degree result. To show the degree results, from Remark 4.2, we have

$$p_0(x) = q_0(x)g_{k+i}(x),$$

this implies that

$$\deg(p_0(x)) = \deg(q_0(x)) + \deg(g_{k+i}(x)).$$

Therefore,

$$\deg(p_0(x)) = \deg(q_0(x)) + t_{k+i}.$$

From Equation 10, $\deg(p_0(x)) < t_{k+i-1}$, thus

$$\deg(q_0(x)) < t_{k+i-1} - t_{k+i}.$$

Again, from Equation 10, we have

$$p_1(x) = x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x) - c_{k+i-1}g_{(k+i-1)(k+i-1)}(x). \tag{11}$$

Also, from Remark 4.2, we have

$$p_1(x) - q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x) = q_1(x)g_{k+i+1}(x). \tag{12}$$

Therefore, Equations 11 and Equation 12 gives

$$x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x) - c_{k+i-1}g_{(k+i-1)(k+i-1)}(x) - q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x) \\ = q_1(x)g_{k+i+1}(x). \tag{13}$$

The degree of the polynomial on right hand side of Equation 13 is less than or equal to the degree of highest degree polynomial on the left hand side. We have

$$\deg(q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)) < \deg(x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)),$$

because $\deg(q_0(x)) < t_{k+i-1} - t_{k+i}$. Thus, $q_0(x)g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)$ is not the highest degree polynomial in the left hand side of Equation 13. Therefore, either polynomial $x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)$ or polynomial $c_{k+i-1}g_{(k+i-1)(k+i-1)}(x)$ is highest degree polynomial or both has equal degree. If $x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)$ is the highest degree polynomial. From Equation 13 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\deg(q_1(x)g_{k+i+1}(x)) &\leq \deg(x^{t_{k+i-1}-t_{k+i}}g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x))\\ &= t_{k+i-1} - t_{k+i} + \deg(g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x))\end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 3.1, we have $\deg(g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)) < t_{k+i+1}$. Therefore,

$$\deg(q_1(x)g_{k+i+1}(x)) < t_{k+i-1} - t_{k+i} + t_{k+i+1}$$

This gives

$$\deg(q_1(x)) + t_{k+i+1} < t_{k+i-1} - t_{k+i} + t_{k+i+1}.$$

This implies that

$$\deg(q_1(x)) < t_{k+i-1} - t_{k+i}.$$

Again, If $c_{k+i-1}g_{(k+i-1)(k+i-1)}(x)$ is the highest degree polynomial. From Equation 13, we have

$$\deg(q_1(x)g_{k+i+1}(x)) \leq \deg(c_{k+i-1}g_{(k+i-1)(k+i-1)}(x))$$

From Theorem 3.1, we have $\deg(g_{(k+i-1)(k+i-1)}(x)) < t_{k+i}$. This gives,

$$\deg(q_1(x)) + t_{k+i+1} < t_{k+i}.$$

This implies that

$$\deg(q_1(x)) < t_{k+i} - t_{k+i+1}.$$

That is finally we get

$$\deg(q_1(x)) < (t_{k+i-1} - t_{k+i}) \ or\ (t_{k+i} - t_{k+i+1}).$$

Proceeding in a similar way we can prove that $\deg(q_2(x)) < (t_{k+i-1} - t_{k+i})$ or $(t_{k+i} - t_{k+i+1})$ or $(t_{k+i+1} - t_{k+i+2}), \cdots$ and $\deg(q_{k-i}(x)) < (t_{k+i-1} - t_{k+i})$ or $(t_{k+i} - t_{k+i+1})$ or $(t_{k+i+1} - t_{k+i+2})$ or $\cdots$ or $(t_{2k-1} - t_{2k})$. □

This lemma is referred in the proof of Case 1 and Case 3 in Theorem 4.6.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $C = \langle A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_{2k}\rangle$ be a cyclic code over the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$. $x^{t_i-t_{k+i}}A_{k+i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, can be written as $x^{t_i-t_{k+i}}A_{k+i} = c_ivA_i + q_0(x)A_{k+i} + q_1(x)A_{k+i+1} + q_2(x)A_{k+i+2} + \cdots + q_{k-i}(x)A_{2k}$ for some $c_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and $q_0(x), q_1(x), \cdots, q_{k-i}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ with deg$(q_0(x)) < t_i - t_{k+i}$, deg$(q_1(x)) < (t_i - t_{k+i})$ or $(t_{k+i} - t_{k+i+1})$, deg$(q_2(x)) < (t_i - t_{k+i})$ or $(t_{k+i} - t_{k+i+1})$ or $(t_{k+i+1} - t_{k+i+2}), \cdots$ and deg$(q_{k-i}(x)) < (t_i - t_{k+i})$ or $(t_{k+i} - t_{k+i+1})$ or $(t_{k+i+1} - t_{k+i+2})$ or $\cdots$ or $(t_{2k-1} - t_{2k})$.*

Proof. From Condition 3 of Theorem 3.2, we have $g_{k+i}(x) | g_i(x)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. This implies that $g_i(x) = s_i(x)g_{k+i}(x)$ for some $s_i(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. This can be written as $g_i(x) = g_{k+i}(x)(s_{i0} + s_{i1}x + \cdots + s_{i(t_i-t_{k+i})}x^{t_i-t_{k+i}})$, where $s_{i0}, s_{i1}, \cdots,$

$s_{i(t_i-t_{k+i})} \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Clearly, $s_{i(t_i-t_{k+i})} \neq 0$ (by degree comparison). For $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_i = u^{i-1}g_i(x) + u^i g_{ii}(x) + u^{i+1}g_{i(i+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{i(k-1)}(x) \\ + v(g_{ik}(x) + ug_{i(k+1)}(x) + u^2 g_{i(k+2)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{i(2k-1)}(x)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_{k+i} = v(u^{i-1}g_{k+i}(x) + u^i g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x) \\ + u^{i+1}g_{(k+i)(k+i+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} vA_i - s_i(x)A_{k+i} = v(u^i(g_{ii}(x) - s_i(x)g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)) + u^{i+1}(g_{i(i+1)}(x) \\ - s_i(x)g_{(k+i)(k+i+1)}(x)) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(g_{i(k-1)}(x) - s_i(x)g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x))). \end{aligned}$$

Again, $vA_i - s_i(x)A_{k+i} \in C$. From Statement 1 of Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(u^i(g_{ii}(x) - s_i(x)g_{(k+i)(k+i)}(x)) + u^{i+1}(g_{i(i+1)}(x) \\ - s_i(x)g_{(k+i)(k+i+1)}(x)) + \cdots + u^{k-1}(g_{i(k-1)}(x) - s_i(x)g_{(k+i)(2k-1)}(x))) \\ = q'_1(x)A_{k+i+1} + q'_2(x)A_{k+i+2} + \cdots + q'_{k-i}(x)A_{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

for some $q'_1(x)$, $q'_2(x)$, $\cdots$, $q'_{k-i}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. That is

$$vA_i - s_i(x)A_{k+i} = q'_1(x)A_{k+i+1} + q'_2(x)A_{k+i+2} + \cdots + q'_{k-i}(x)A_{2k},$$

thus

$$s_i(x)A_{k+i} = vA_i - q'_1(x)A_{k+i+1} - q'_2(x)A_{k+i+2} - \cdots - q'_{k-i}(x)A_{2k},$$

this can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (s_{i0} + s_{i1}x + \cdots + s_{i(t_i-t_{k+i})}x^{t_i-t_{k+i}})A_{k+i} \\ = vA_i - q'_1(x)A_{k+i+1} - q'_2(x)A_{k+i+2} - \cdots - q'_{k-i}(x)A_{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{i(t_i-t_{k+i})}x^{t_i-t_{k+i}}A_{k+i} = vA_i - (s_{i0} + s_{i1}x + \cdots + s_{i(t_i-t_{k+i}-1)}x^{t_i-t_{k+i}-1})A_{k+i} \\ - q'_1(x)A_{k+i+1} - q'_2(x)A_{k+i+2} - \cdots - q'_{k-i}(x)A_{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{i(t_i-t_{k+i})}x^{t_i-t_{k+i}}A_{k+i} = vA_i - q'_0(x)A_{k+i} \\ - q'_1(x)A_{k+i+1} - q'_2(x)A_{k+i+2} - \cdots - q'_{k-i}(x)A_{2k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $q'_0(x) = s_{i0} + s_{i1}x + \cdots + s_{i(t_i-t_{k+i}-1)}x^{t_i-t_{k+i}-1}$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} x^{t_i-t_{k+i}}A_{k+i} = s^{-1}_{i(t_i-t_{k+i})}vA_i - s^{-1}_{i(t_i-t_{k+i})}q'_0(x)A_{k+i} - s^{-1}_{i(t_i-t_{k+i})}q'_1(x)A_{k+i+1} \\ - s^{-1}_{i(t_i-t_{k+i})}q'_2(x)A_{k+i+2} - \cdots - s^{-1}_{i(t_i-t_{k+i})}q'_{k-i}(x)A_{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

This equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} x^{t_i-t_{k+i}}A_{k+i} = c_i vA_i + q_0(x)A_{k+i} \\ + q_1(x)A_{k+i+1} + q_2(x)A_{k+i+2} + \cdots + q_{k-i}(x)A_{2k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_i = s^{-1}_{i(t_i-t_{k+i})}$, $q_0(x) = -s^{-1}_{i(t_i-t_{k+i})}q'_0(x), \cdots, q_{k-i}(x) = -s^{-1}_{i(t_i-t_{k+i})}q'_{k-i}(x)$. The proof of the degree results are same as shown in Lemma 4.3. □

This lemma is referred in the proof of Case 1, Case 2 and Case 3 in Theorem 4.6.

Lemma 4.5. *Let C be a cyclic code over the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$. If $C = \langle vA_1, vA_2, \cdots, vA_k\rangle$, then the spanning set S of the code C is $\{vA_1, xvA_1, \cdots, x^{n-t_1-1}vA_1, A_2, xvA_2, \cdots, x^{t_1-t_2-1}vA_2, \cdots, vA_k, xvA_k, \cdots, x^{t_{k-1}-t_k-1}vA_k\}$.*

Proof. It is suffices to show that S spans the set $S' = \{vA_1, xvA_1, \cdots, x^{n-t_1-1}vA_1, A_2, xvA_2, \cdots, x^{n-t_2-1}vA_2, \cdots, vA_k, xvA_k, \cdots, x^{n-t_k-1}vA_k\}$. We can also visualize C as a $R_{u^k,p}$-module, hence as a cyclic code over the ring $R_{u^k,p}$. Therefore, from Theorem 4.2 of [20], it is easy to see that, the elements of the set $\{x^{t_{k-1}-t_k}vA_k, x^{t_{k-1}-t_k+1}vA_k, \cdots, x^{n-t_k-1}vA_k, x^{t_{k-2}-t_{k-1}}vA_{k-1}, x^{t_{k-2}-t_{k-1}+1}vA_{k-1}, \cdots, x^{n-t_{k-1}-1}vA_{k-1}, \cdots, x^{t_1-t_2}vA_2, x^{t_1-t_2+1}A_2, \cdots, x^{n-t_2-1}A_2\}$ can be written as $R_{u^k,p}$-linear combination of the elements of the set $\{vA_1, xvA_1, \cdots, x^{n-t_1-1}vA_1, vA_2, xvA_2, \cdots, x^{t_1-t_2-1}vA_2, \cdots, vA_k, xvA_k, \cdots, x^{t_{k-1}-t_k-1}vA_k\}$. This proves the lemma. □

Note that $\langle vA_1, vA_2, \cdots, vA_k\rangle$ is a subcode of the code $\langle A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_{2k}\rangle$. This lemma is referred in the proof of Case 2 in Theorem 4.6.

Theorem 4.6. *Let n be a positive integer not relatively prime to p and C be a cyclic code of length n over the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$. If $C = \langle A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_{2k}\rangle$, $\deg(g_i(x)) = t_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 2k$ and $t'_i = \min\{\deg(g_{i+1}(x)), \deg(g_{k+i}(x))\}$, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, then C has free rank $n - t_1$ and rank $n + t_1 + t^{'}_1 + t^{'}_2 + \cdots + t^{'}_{k-1} - (t_k + t_{k+1} + \cdots + t_{2k})$. The minimal spanning set B of the code C is $\{A_1, xA_1, \cdots, x^{n-t_1-1}A_1, A_2, xA_2, \cdots, x^{t_1-t_2-1}A_2, A_3, xA_3, \cdots, x^{t_2-t_3-1}A_3, \cdots, A_k, xA_k, \cdots, x^{t_{k-1}-t_k-1}A_k, A_{k+1}, xA_{k+1}, \cdots, x^{t_1-t_{k+1}-1}A_{k+1}, A_{k+2}, xA_{k+2}, \cdots, x^{t'_1-t_{k+2}-1}A_{k+2}, A_{k+3}, xA_{k+3}, \cdots, x^{t'_2-t_{k+3}-1}A_{k+3}, \cdots, A_{2k-1}, xA_{2k-1}, \cdots, x^{t'_{k-2}-t_{2k-1}-1}A_{2k-1}, A_{2k}, xA_{2k}, \cdots, x^{t'_{k-1}-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}\}$.*

Proof. It is suffices to show that B spans the set $B' = \{A_1, xA_1, \cdots, x^{n-t_1-1}A_1, A_2, xA_2, \cdots, x^{n-t_2-1}A_2, \cdots, A_k, xA_k, \cdots, x^{n-t_k-1}A_k, A_{k+1}, xA_{k+1}, \cdots, x^{n-t_{k+1}-1}A_{k+1}, A_{k+2}, xA_{k+2}, \cdots, x^{n-t_{k+2}-1}A_{k+2}, \cdots, A_{2k}, xA_{2k}, \cdots, x^{n-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}\}$. To show B spans B' we write the set B' as $B' = B_1 \cup B_2$, where $B_1 = \{A_1, xA_1, \cdots, x^{n-t_1-1}A_1, A_2, xA_2, \cdots, x^{n-t_2-1}A_2, A_3, xA_3, \cdots, x^{n-t_3-1}A_3, \cdots, A_k, xA_k, x^{n-t_k-1}A_k\}$ and $B_2 = \{A_{k+1}, xA_{k+1}, \cdots, x^{n-t_{k+1}-1}A_{k+1}, A_{k+2}, xA_{k+2}, \cdots, x^{n-t_{k+2}-1}, A_{k+3}, xA_{k+3}, \cdots, x^{n-t_{k+3}-1}A_{k+3}, \cdots, A_{2k}, xA_{2k}, \cdots, x^{n-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}\}$. First we show that B spans B_2 and then we show that B spans B_1. To show B spans B_2 we divide the proof in three cases.

Case 1. Let $t_{k+i} < t_{i+1}$, that is, $t'_i = t_{k+i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. We define the set $B_2 - B$ as an ordered set :

$\{x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}}A_{2k}, x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k}, \cdots, x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}, x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1}, x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}+1}A_{2k-1}, \cdots, x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k-1}-1}A_{2k-1}, x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k}}A_{2k}, x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k}, \cdots, x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}, x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k-2}}A_{2k-2}, x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k-2}+1}A_{2k-2}, \cdots, x^{t_{2k-4}-t_{2k-2}-1}A_{2k-2}, x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1}, x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k-1}+1}A_{2k-1}, \cdots, x^{t_{2k-4}-t_{2k-1}-1}A_{2k-1}, x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k}}A_{2k}, x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k}, \cdots, x^{t_{2k-4}-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}, \cdots x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+2}}A_{k+2}, x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+2}+1}A_{k+2}, \cdots, x^{t_1-t_{k+2}-1}A_{k+2}, x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+3}}A_{k+3}, x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+3}+1}A_{k+3}, \cdots, x^{t_1-t_{k+3}-1}A_{k+3}, \cdots x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+i}}A_{k+i}, x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+i}+1}A_{k+i}, \cdots, x^{t_1-t_{k+i}-1}A_{k+i}, \cdots x^{t_{k+1}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1}, x^{t_{k+1}-t_{2k-1}+1}A_{2k-1}, \cdots, x^{t_1-t_{2k-1}-1}A_{2k-1}, x^{t_{k+1}-t_{2k}}A_{2k}, x^{t_{k+1}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k}, \cdots, x^{t_1-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}, x^{t_1-t_{k+1}}A_{k+1}, x^{t_1-t_{k+1}+1}A_{k+1}, \cdots, x^{n-t_{k+1}-1}A_{k+1}, x^{t_1-t_{k+2}}A_{k+2}, x^{t_1-t_{k+2}+1}A_{k+2}, \cdots, x^{n-t_{k+2}-1}A_{k+2}, \cdots x^{t_1-t_{k+i}}A_{k+i}, x^{t_1-t_{k+i}+1}A_{k+i}, \cdots, x^{n-t_{k+i}-1}A_{k+i}, \cdots x^{t_1-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1}, x^{t_1-t_{2k-1}+1}A_{2k-1}, \cdots, x^{n-t_{2k-1}-1}A_{2k-1}, x^{t_1-t_{2k}}A_{2k}, x^{t_1-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k}, \cdots, x^{n-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}\}$, where $x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}}A_{2k}$ is the first and $x^{n-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}$ is the last element of the set $B_2 - B$. Rest of the elements are in the order as they appear in the set $B_2 - B$ as given above. To understand the pattern of order of the ordered set $B_2 - B$, we write the set as:

$$\{x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}}A_{2k},\ x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}\}\cup$$
$$\{x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1},\ x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}+1}A_{2k-1},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k-1}-1}A_{2k-1},$$
$$x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k}}A_{2k},\ x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}\}\cup$$
$$\{x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k-2}}A_{2k-2},\ x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k-2}+1}A_{2k-2},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{2k-4}-t_{2k-2}-1}A_{2k-2},$$
$$x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1},\ x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k-1}+1}A_{2k-1},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{2k-4}-t_{2k-1}-1}A_{2k-1},$$
$$x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k}}A_{2k},\ x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{2k-4}-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}\}$$
$$\cup$$
$$\vdots$$
$$\cup$$
$$\{x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+2}}A_{k+2},\ x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+2}+1}A_{k+2},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_1-t_{k+2}-1}A_{k+2},$$
$$x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+3}}A_{k+3},\ x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+3}+1}A_{k+3},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_1-t_{k+3}-1}A_{k+3},$$
$$\vdots$$
$$x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+i}}A_{k+i},\ x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+i}+1}A_{k+i},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_1-t_{k+i}-1}A_{k+i},$$
$$\vdots$$
$$x^{t_{k+1}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1},\ x^{t_{k+1}-t_{2k-1}+1}A_{2k-1},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_1-t_{2k-1}-1}A_{2k-1},$$
$$x^{t_{k+1}-t_{2k}}A_{2k},\ x^{t_{k+1}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_1-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}\}\cup$$
$$\{x^{t_1-t_{k+1}}A_{k+1},\ x^{t_1-t_{k+1}+1}A_{k+1},\ \cdots,\ x^{n-t_{k+1}-1}A_{k+1},$$
$$x^{t_1-t_{k+2}}A_{k+2},\ x^{t_1-t_{k+2}+1}A_{k+2},\ \cdots,\ x^{n-t_{k+2}-1}A_{k+2},$$
$$\vdots$$
$$x^{t_1-t_{k+i}}A_{k+i},\ x^{t_1-t_{k+i}+1}A_{k+i},\ \cdots,\ x^{n-t_{k+i}-1}A_{k+i},$$
$$\vdots$$
$$x^{t_1-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1},\ x^{t_1-t_{2k-1}+1}A_{2k-1},\ \cdots,\ x^{n-t_{2k-1}-1}A_{2k-1},$$
$$x^{t_1-t_{2k}}A_{2k},\ x^{t_1-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k},\ \cdots,\ x^{n-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}\}$$

First we show that the first element $x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}}A_{2k} \in B_2 - B$ is a linear combination of some elements of B and then we show other elements of the set $B_2 - B$ are linear combination of elements of B and its previous elements of the ordered set $B_2 - B$. For $i = k$, from Lemma 4.3, we have

$$x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}}A_{2k} = c_{2k-1}uA_{2k-1} + q_0(x)A_{2k}, \tag{14}$$

where $\deg(q_0(x)) < t_{2k-1} - t_{2k}$. Let

$$q_0(x) = q_{00} + q_{01}x + \cdots + q_{0(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1)}x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1},$$

where $q_{0i} \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Thus, we have

$$x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}}A_{2k} = c_{2k-1}uA_{2k-1} + q_{00}A_{2k} + q_{01}xA_{2k} + \cdots + q_{0(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1)}x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}. \quad (15)$$

Therefore, $x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}}A_{2k}$ is a linear combination of some elements of B, that is $x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}}A_{2k} \in \mathrm{Span}(B)$. Now, we show that $x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k} \in \mathrm{Span}(B)$. Multiplying Equation 15 by x, we get

$$x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k} = c_{2k-1}uxA_{2k-1} + q_{00}xA_{2k} + q_{01}x^2A_{2k} + \cdots + q_{0(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1)}x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}}A_{2k}. \quad (16)$$

If we put the value of $x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}}A_{2k}$ from Equation 15 in Equation 16, we get

$$\begin{aligned} x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k} &= (c_{2k-1}uq_{0(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1)} + c_{2k-1}ux)A_{2k-1} + q_{00}q_{0(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1)}A_{2k} \\ &+ (q_{00} + q_{01}q_{0(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1)})xA_{2k} + (q_{01} + q_{02}q_{0(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1)})x^2A_{2k} + \cdots \\ &+ (q_{0(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-2)} + q_{0(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1)}q_{0(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1)})x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}. \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

Equation 17 can be written as

$$x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k} = (c_{2k-1}uq_{0(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1)} + c_{2k-1}ux)A_{2k-1} + q_0'(x)A_{2k}, \quad (18)$$

where $q_0'(x) = q_{00}q_{0(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1)} + (q_{00}+q_{01}q_{0(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1)})x + (q_{01}+q_{02}q_{0(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1)})x^2 + \cdots + (q_{0(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-2)} + q_{0(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1)}q_{0(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1)})x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}-1}$ and also $\deg(q_0'(x)) < t_{2k-1} - t_{2k}$. This implies that $x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k}$ is a linear combination of its previous elements in the ordered set $B_2 - B$ and some elements of B. Hence, $x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k} \in \mathrm{Span}(B)$. (Note that multiplying Equation 14 by x we get Equation 18. The degree of $q_0(x)$ in Equation 14 and degree of $q_0'(x)$ in Equation 18 both are satisfying $\deg(q_0(x)), \deg(q_0'(x)) < t_{2k-1} - t_{2k}$. That is, even after multiplying Equation 14 by x, the degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k} in Equation 18 does not exceed by $t_{2k-1} - t_{2k} - 1$. In fact, the degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k} in Equation 18 does not exceed by the degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k} in Equation 14. Only the degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k-1} is increased by one in Equation 18 from Equation 14). In a similar way, multiplying Equation 18 by x we can show that $x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}+2}A_{2k} \in \mathrm{Span}(B)$. And also it can be shown that degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k} will not be increased. Only the degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k-1} will be increased by one. In this similar way after $t_{2k-2} - t_{2k-1} - 1$ times we will get

$$x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k} = q_{-1}(x)A_{2k-1} + q_0''(x)A_{2k}, \quad (19)$$

for some $q_{-1}(x)$, $q_0''(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ with $\deg(q_{-1}(x)) = t_{2k-2} - t_{2k-1} - 1$ and $\deg(q_0''(x)) < t_{2k-1} - t_{2k}$. From Equation 19 we can say that $x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k} \in \mathrm{Span}(B)$. Now the next term of $x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}$ in ordered set $B_2 - B$ is $x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1}$. To show $x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1} \in \mathrm{Span}(B)$ we proceed as follows. For $i = k - 1$, from Lemma 4.3, we have

$$x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1} = c_{2k-2}uA_{2k-2} + q_0(x)A_{2k-1} + q_1(x)A_{2k} \quad (20)$$

where $\deg(q_0(x)) < t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}$ and $\deg(q_1(x)) < (t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1})$ or $(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k})$. In the above discussion we have shown that $x^i A_{2k} \in \text{Span}(B)$, for $1 \leq i \leq t_{2k-2} - t_{2k} - 1$. Now we have $t_{2k-2} > t_{2k-1} > t_{2k}$ which implies that $t_{2k-2} - t_{2k-1}$, $t_{2k-1} - t_{2k} < t_{2k-2} - t_{2k}$. This gives $\deg(q_1(x)) < t_{2k-2} - t_{2k}$. Therefore $q_1(x)A_{2k}$ is a linear combination of some element of B and previous elements of term $x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k}$ in the ordered set $B_2 - B$. That is $q_1(x)A_{2k} \in \text{Span}(B)$. And also both the term $c_{2k-2}uA_{2k-2}$, $q_0(x)A_{2k-1} \in \text{Span}(B)$ (since, $\deg(q_0(x)) < t_{2k-2} - t_{2k-1}$). Therefore $x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1}$ is a linear combination of some elements of B and its previous elements in the ordered set $B_2 - B$. Therefore, $x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1} \in \text{Span}(B)$. Now, we show that $x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}+1}A_{2k-1} \in \text{Span}(B)$. We follow the same techniques as above. After putting the value of $x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1}$ and $x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k}$ (or $x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}}A_{2k}$) in the equation obtained by multiplying Equation 20 by x, we get

$$x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}+1}A_{2k-1} = (q'_{00}c_{2k-2}u+c_{2k-2}ux)A_{2k-2}+q'_0(x)A_{2k-1}+q'_1(x)A_{2k} \quad (21)$$

for some $q'_{00} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and $q'_0(x), q'_1(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ such that $\deg(q'_0(x)) < t_{2k-2} - t_{2k-1}$ and $\deg(q'_1(x)) < (t_{2k-2} - t_{2k-1})$ or $(t_{2k-1} - t_{2k})$. (Note that after multiplying Equation 20 by x we get Equation 21 and the degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k-1} and A_{2k} does not exceed by $t_{2k-2} - t_{2k-1} - 1$ and $(t_{2k-2} - t_{2k-1} - 1)$ or $(t_{2k-1} - t_{2k} - 1)$ respectively. In fact the degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k-1} and A_{2k} in Equation 21 are not exceed by the degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k-1} and A_{2k} respectively in Equation 20. Only the degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k-2} is increased by one in Equation 21. This fact can be shown by same techniques as shown above for getting Equation 18 from Equation 14). Therefore, it is clear from Equation 21 that $x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}+1}A_{2k-1} \in \text{Span}(B)$. In a similar way, multiplying Equation 21 by x we can show that $x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}+2}A_{2k-1} \in \text{Span}(B)$. And also it can be shown that degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k-1} and A_{2k} will not be increased. Only the degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k-2} will be increased by one. In this similar way after $t_{2k-3} - t_{2k-2} - 1$ times we will get

$$x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k-1}-1}A_{2k-1} = q_{-1}(x)A_{2k-2} + q''_0(x)A_{2k-1} + q''_1(x)A_{2k} \quad (22)$$

for some $q_{-1}(x)$, $q''_0(x)$ and $q''_1(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ with $\deg(q_{-1}(x)) = t_{2k-3} - t_{2k-2} - 1$ and $\deg(q''_0(x)) < t_{2k-2} - t_{2k-1}$ and $\deg(q''_1(x)) < (t_{2k-2} - t_{2k-1})$ or $(t_{2k-1} - t_{2k})$. From Equation 22 we can say that $x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k-1}-1}A_{2k-1} \in \text{Span}(B)$. Now the next term of $x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k-1}-1}A_{2k-1}$ in the ordered set $B_2 - B$ is $x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k}}A_{2k}$. To show $x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k}}A_{2k} \in \text{Span}(B)$ we multiply Equation 19 by x. Then we get $x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k}}A_{2k} \in \text{Span}(B)$. Repeating this for $t_{2k-3} - t_{2k-2}$ times we can show that $x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k} \in \text{Span}(B)$. In this fashion, for $i = k-2, k-3, \cdots, 2$, from Lemma 4.3, we can show that the terms up to $x^{t_1-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k} \in \text{Span}(B)$ one by one. Now we have to show that $x^{t_1-t_{k+1}}A_{k+1} \in \text{Span}(B)$. For $i = 1$, by Lemma 4.4, we have the equation

$$\begin{aligned} x^{t_1-t_{k+1}}A_{k+1} = c_1vA_1 + q_0(x)A_{k+1} + q_1(x)A_{k+2} \\ + q_2(x)A_{k+3} + \cdots + q_{k-1}(x)A_{2k}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

for some $c_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and $q_0(x)$, $q_1(x)$, $\cdots$, $q_{k-1}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ with $\deg(q_0(x)) < t_1 - t_{k+1}$, $\deg(q_1(x)) < (t_1 - t_{k+1})$ or $(t_{k+1} - t_{k+2})$, $\deg(q_2(x)) < (t_1 - t_{k+1})$ or $(t_{k+1} - t_{k+2})$ or $(t_{k+2} - t_{k+3})$, $\cdots$ and $\deg(q_{k-1}(x)) < (t_1 - t_{k+1})$ or $(t_{k+1} - t_{k+2})$ or $(t_{k+2} - t_{k+3})$ or $\cdots$ or $(t_{2k-1} - t_{2k})$. We have shown up to now that $x^{t_1 - t_{k+2} - 1} A_{k+2}$, $x^{t_1 - t_{k+3} - 1} A_{k+3}$, $\cdots$, $x^{t_1 - t_{2k} - 1} A_{2k} \in \mathrm{Span}(B)$. Therefore, the terms $q_{i-1}(x) A_{k+i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$ in Equation 23 are linear combination of some previous elements of $x^{t_1 - t_{k+i}} A_{k+i}$, for $2 \leq i \leq k$ in the ordered set $B_2 - B$ and some elements of the set B. This implies that, $q_{i-1}(x) A_{k+i} \in \mathrm{Span}(B)$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Therefore, $x^{t_1 - t_{k+1}} A_{k+1} \in \mathrm{Span}(B)$. Multiplying Equation 23 by x, in a similar fashion as above we can show that $x^{t_1 - t_{k+1} + 1} A_{k+1} \in \mathrm{Span}(B)$. Repeating this for $n - t_1$ times we can show that $x^{n - t_{k+1} + 1} A_{k+1} \in \mathrm{Span}(B)$. Again, to show the elements from $x^{t_1 - t_{k+2}} A_{k+2}$ to $x^{n - t_{2k} - 1} A_{2k}$ of the ordered set $B_2 - B$ are in $\mathrm{Span}(B)$, we use Lemma 4.3 and apply same techniques as applied above.

Case 2. Let $t_{k+i} > t_{i+1}$, that is, $t'_i = t_{i+1}$, for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. We define the set $B_2 - B$ as an ordered set :
$\{x^{t_k - t_{2k}} A_{2k}, x^{t_k - t_{2k} + 1} A_{2k}, \cdots, x^{n - t_{2k} - 1} A_{2k}, x^{t_{k-1} - t_{2k-1}} A_{2k-1}, x^{t_{k-1} - t_{2k-1} + 1} A_{2k-1}, \cdots, x^{n - t_{2k-1} - 1} A_{2k-1}, \cdots, x^{t_i - t_{k+i}} A_{k+i}, x^{t_i - t_{k+i} + 1} A_{k+i}, \cdots, x^{n - t_{k+i} - 1} A_{k+i}, \cdots, x^{t_2 - t_{k+2}} A_{k+2}, x^{t_2 - t_{k+2} + 1} A_{k+2}, \cdots, x^{n - t_{k+2} - 1} A_{k+2}, x^{t_1 - t_{k+1}} A_{k+1}, x^{t_1 - t_{k+1} + 1} A_{k+1}, \cdots, x^{n - t_{k+1} - 1} A_{k+1}\}$,
where $x^{t_k - t_{2k}} A_{2k}$ is the first and $x^{n - t_{k+1} - 1} A_{k+1}$ is the last element of the set $B_2 - B$. Rest of the elements are in the order as they appear in the set $B_2 - B$ given above. For $i = k$, from Lemma 4.4, we have

$$x^{t_k - t_{2k}} A_{2k} = c_k v A_k + q_0(x) A_{2k}, \tag{24}$$

where $\deg(q_0(x)) < t_k - t_{2k}$. Let

$$q_0(x) = q_{00} + q_{01} x + \cdots + q_{0(t_k - t_{2k} - 1)} x^{t_k - t_{2k} - 1},$$

where $q_{0i} \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Thus we have

$$x^{t_k - t_{2k}} A_{2k} = c_k v A_k + q_{00} A_{2k} + q_{01} x A_{2k} + \cdots + q_{0(t_k - t_{2k} - 1)} x^{t_k - t_{2k} - 1} A_{2k}. \tag{25}$$

Therefore, $x^{t_k - t_{2k}} A_{2k}$ is a linear combination of some elements of B, that is $x^{t_k - t_{2k}} A_{2k} \in \mathrm{Span}(B)$. Next, we show that $x^{t_k - t_{2k} + 1} A_{2k} \in \mathrm{Span}(B)$. Multiplying Equation 25 by x we get

$$x^{t_k - t_{2k} + 1} A_{2k} = c_k v x A_k + q_{00} x A_{2k} + q_{01} x^2 A_{2k} + \cdots + q_{0(t_k - t_{2k} - 1)} x^{t_k - t_{2k}} A_{2k}. \tag{26}$$

As in Case 1, if we put the value of $x^{t_k - t_{2k}} A_{2k}$ from Equation 25 in Equation 26, we get

$$x^{t_k - t_{2k} + 1} A_{2k} = (c_k q_{0(t_k - t_{2k} - 1)} q'_{00} v + c_k v x) A_k + q'_0(x) A_{2k}. \tag{27}$$

for some $q'_0(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ such that $\deg(q'_0(x)) < t_k - t_{2k}$. (Note that multiplying Equation 24 by x we get Equation 27 and the degree of the coefficient polynomial of A_{2k} is not exceed by $t_k - t_{2k} - 1$. In fact the degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k} in Equation 27 does not exceed by the degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k} in Equation 24. Only the degree of coefficient polynomial of A_k is increased by one. Proof of this fact can be shown by same technique as shown in Case 1 for getting Equation 18 from Equation 14). From Lemma

4.5, we have $x^ivA_k \in \text{Span}(B)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n - t_k - 1$. This implies that $x^{t_k-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k}$ is a linear combination of its previous elements in the ordered set $B_2 - B$ and some elements of B. Hence, $x^{t_k-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k} \in \text{Span}(B)$. In a similar way, multiplying Equation 27 by x we can show that $x^{t_k-t_{2k}+2}A_{2k} \in \text{Span}(B)$. And also it can be shown that degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k} will not be increased. Only the degree of coefficient polynomial of A_k will be increased by one. In this similar way after $n - t_k$ times we can show that $x^{n-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k} \in \text{Span}(B)$. Now we show that $x^{t_{k-1}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1} \in \text{Span}(B)$. For $i = k - 1$, from Lemma 4.4, we have

$$x^{t_{k-1}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1} = c_{k-1}vA_{k-1} + q_0(x)A_{2k-1} + q_1(x)A_{2k}, \tag{28}$$

where $\deg(q_0(x)) < t_{k-1}-t_{2k-1}$ and $\deg(q_1(x)) < (t_{k-1}-t_{2k-1})$ or $(t_{2k-1}-t_{2k})$. In above discussion (in Case 2), we have shown that $x^iA_{2k} \in \text{Span}(B)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n - t_{2k} - 1$. Therefore, $q_1(x)A_{2k} \in \text{Span}(B)$. Also, from Lemma 4.5, we have $x^ivA_{k-1} \in \text{Span}(B)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n - t_{k-1} - 1$. And, we have $q_0(x)A_{2k-1} \in \text{Span}(B)$ (since $\deg(q_0(x)) < t_{k-1} - t_{2k-1}$). Therefore, $x^{t_{k-1}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1} \in \text{Span}(B)$. Now we show that $x^{t_{k-1}-t_{2k-1}+1}A_{2k-1} \in \text{Span}(B)$. We follow the same technique as shown above. After putting the value of $x^{t_{k-1}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1}$ and $x^{t_{k-1}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k}$ (or $x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}}A_{2k}$) in the equation obtained by Equation 28 by multiplying x, we get

$$x^{t_{k-1}-t_{2k-1}+1}A_{2k-1} = (q'_{00}c_{k-1}v + c_{k-1}vx)A_{k-1} + q'_0(x)A_{2k-1} + q'_1(x)A_{2k} \tag{29}$$

for some $q'_{00} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and $q'_0(x), q'_1(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ such that $\deg(q'_0(x)) < t_{k-1} - t_{2k-1}$ and $\deg(q'_1(x)) < (t_{k-1} - t_{2k-1})$ or $(t_{2k-1} - t_{2k})$. (Note that after multiplying Equation 28 by x we get Equation 29 and the degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k-1} and A_{2k} are not exceed by $t_{k-1}-t_{2k-1}-1$ and $t_{k-1}-t_{2k-1}-1$ or $t_{2k-1}-t_{2k} - 1$. In fact the degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k-1} and A_{2k} in Equation 29 are not exceed by the degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k-1} and A_{2k} in Equation 28. Only the degree of coefficient polynomial A_{k-1} is increased by one. This fact can be shown by same technique as shown in Case 1 for getting Equation 18 from Equation 14). Therefore, it is clear from Equation 29 that $x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}+1}A_{2k-1} \in \ textSpan(B)$. In a similar way, by multiplying Equation (29) by x, we can show that $x^{t_{k-1}-t_{2k-1}+2}A_{2k-1} \in \text{Span}(B)$. And also it can be shown that degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{2k-1} and A_{2k} will not be increased. Only the degree of coefficient polynomial of A_{k-1} will be increased by one. In this similar way after $n - t_{k-1}$ times we will get $x^{n-t_{2k-1}-1}A_{2k-1} \in \text{Span}(B)$. In this fashion, for $i = k - 2, k - 3, \cdots, 1$, from Lemma 4.4, we can show the rest of the terms in the ordered set $B_2 - B$ are belongs to $\text{Span}(B)$ that is $x^{n-t_{k+1}-1}A_{k+1} \in \text{Span}(B)$.

Case 3. Let $I = \{l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_r\}$ for $1 \leq r \leq k - 1$ and $1 \leq l_1 < l_2 < \cdots < l_{r-1} < l_r \leq k - 1$. Let $t_{k+i} < t_{i+1}$ for $i \notin I$, $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ and $t_{k+i} > t_{i+1}$ for $i \in I$. If $r = k - 1$ that is $l_r = k - 1$ then the this case will be reduced to Case 2 and if the set I is empty then this case will be reduced to Case 1. We define the set $B_2 - B$ as an ordered set :
$\{x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}}A_{2k},\ x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}\} \cup$
$\{x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1},\ x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k-1}+1}A_{2k-1},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k-1}-1}A_{2k-1},$

$x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k}}A_{2k},\ x^{t_{2k-2}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}\}\ \cup$

$\{x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k-2}}A_{2k-2},\ x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k-2}+1}A_{2k-2},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{2k-4}-t_{2k-2}-1}A_{2k-2},$

$x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1},\ x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k-1}+1}A_{2k-1},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{2k-4}-t_{2k-1}-1}A_{2k-1},$

$x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k}}A_{2k},\ x^{t_{2k-3}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{2k-4}-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}\}$

$\cup$

$\vdots$

$\cup$

$\{x^{t_{k+l_r+1}-t_{k+l_r+2}}A_{k+l_r+2},\ x^{t_{k+l_r+1}-t_{k+l_r+2}+1}A_{k+l_r+2},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{l_r+1}-t_{k+l_r+2}-1}A_{k+l_r+2},$

$x^{t_{k+l_r+1}-t_{k+l_r+3}}A_{k+l_r+3},\ x^{t_{k+l_r+1}-t_{k+l_r+3}+1}A_{k+l_r+3},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{l_r+1}-t_{k+l_r+3}-1}A_{k+l_r+3},$

$\vdots$

$x^{t_{k+l_r+1}-t_{k+l_r+i}}A_{k+l_r+i},\ x^{t_{k+l_r+1}-t_{k+l_r+i}+1}A_{k+l_r+i},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{l_r+1}-t_{k+l_r+i}-1}A_{k+l_r+i},$

$\vdots$

$x^{t_{k+l_r+1}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1},\ x^{t_{k+l_r+1}-t_{2k-1}+1}A_{2k-1},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{l_r+1}-t_{2k-1}-1}A_{2k-1},$

$x^{t_{k+l_r+1}-t_{2k}}A_{2k},\ x^{t_{k+l_r+1}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{l_r+1}-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}\}\ \cup$

$\{x^{t_{l_r+1}-t_{k+l_r+1}}A_{k+l_r+1},\ x^{t_{l_r+1}-t_{k+l_r+1}+1}A_{k+l_r+1},\ \cdots,\ x^{n-t_{k+l_r+1}-1}A_{k+l_r+1},$

$x^{t_{l_r+1}-t_{k+l_r+2}}A_{k+l_r+2},\ x^{t_{l_r+1}-t_{k+l_r+2}+1}A_{k+l_r+2},\ \cdots,\ x^{n-t_{k+l_r+2}-1}A_{k+l_r+2},$

$\vdots$

$x^{t_{l_r+1}-t_{k+l_r+i}}A_{k+l_r+i},\ x^{t_{l_r+1}-t_{k+l_r+i}+1}A_{k+l_r+i},\ \cdots,\ x^{n-t_{k+l_r+i}-1}A_{k+l_r+i},$

$\vdots$

$x^{t_{l_r+1}-t_{2k-1}}A_{2k-1},\ x^{t_{l_r+1}-t_{2k-1}+1}A_{2k-1},\ \cdots,\ x^{n-t_{2k-1}-1}A_{2k-1},$

$x^{t_{l_r+1}-t_{2k}}A_{2k},\ x^{t_{l_r+1}-t_{2k}+1}A_{2k},\ \cdots,\ x^{n-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}\}$

$\cup$

$\{x^{t_{k+l_j-1}-t_{k+l_j}}A_{k+l_j},\ x^{t_{k+l_j-1}-t_{k+l_j}+1}A_{k+l_j},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{k+l_j-2}-t_{k+l_j}-1}A_{k+l_j}\}\ \cup$

$\{x^{t_{k+l_j-2}-t_{k+l_j-1}}A_{k+l_j-1},\ x^{t_{k+l_j-2}-t_{k+l_j-1}+1}A_{k+l_j-1},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{k+l_j-3}-t_{k+l_j-1}-1}A_{k+l_j-1},$

$x^{t_{k+l_j-2}-t_{k+l_j}}A_{k+l_j},\ x^{t_{k+l_j-2}-t_{k+l_j}+1}A_{k+l_j},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{k+l_j-3}-t_{k+l_j}-1}A_{k+l_j}\}\ \cup$

$\{x^{t_{k+l_j-3}-t_{k+l_j-2}}A_{k+l_j-2},\ x^{t_{k+l_j-3}-t_{k+l_j-2}+1}A_{k+l_j-2},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{k+l_j-4}-t_{k+l_j-2}-1}A_{k+l_j-2},$

$x^{t_{k+l_j-3}-t_{k+l_j-1}}A_{k+l_j-1},\ x^{t_{k+l_j-3}-t_{k+l_j-1}+1}A_{k+l_j-1},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{k+l_j-4}-t_{k+l_j-1}-1}A_{k+l_j-1},$

$x^{t_{k+l_j-3}-t_{k+l_j}}A_{k+l_j},\ x^{t_{k+l_j-3}-t_{k+l_j}+1}A_{k+l_j},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{k+l_j-4}-t_{k+l_j}-1}A_{k+l_j}\}$

$\cup$

$\vdots$

$\cup$

$\{x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+2}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+3}}A_{k+l_{j-1}+3},\ x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+2}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+3}+1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+3},\ \cdots,$

$x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+3}-1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+3},$

$x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+2}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+4}}A_{k+l_{j-1}+4},\ x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+2}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+4}+1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+4},\ \cdots,$

$x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+4}-1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+4},$

$\vdots$

$x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+2}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+i}}A_{k+l_{j-1}+i},\ x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+2}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+i}+1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+i},\ \cdots,$

$x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+i}-1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+i},$

$\vdots$

$x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+2}-t_{k+l_j-1}}A_{k+l_j-1},\ x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+2}-t_{k+l_j-1}+1}A_{k+l_j-1},\ \cdots,$

$$x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_j-1}-1}A_{k+l_j-1},$$
$$x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+2}-t_{k+l_j}}A_{k+l_j},\ x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+2}-t_{k+l_j}+1}A_{k+l_j},\ \cdots,$$
$$x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_j}-1}A_{k+l_j}\}\ \cup$$
$$\{x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+2}}A_{k+l_{j-1}+2},\ x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+2}+1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+2},\ \cdots,$$
$$x^{t_{l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+2}-1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+2},$$
$$x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+3}}A_{k+l_{j-1}+3},\ x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+3}+1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+3},\ \cdots,$$
$$x^{t_{l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+3}-1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+3},$$
$$\vdots$$
$$x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+i}}A_{k+l_{j-1}+i},\ x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+i}+1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+i},\ \cdots,$$
$$x^{t_{l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+i}-1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+i},$$
$$\vdots$$
$$x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_j-1}}A_{k+l_j-1},\ x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_j-1}+1}A_{k+l_j-1},\ \cdots,$$
$$x^{t_{l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_j-1}-1}A_{k+l_j-1},$$
$$x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_j}}A_{k+l_j},\ x^{t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_j}+1}A_{k+l_j},\ \cdots,$$
$$x^{t_{l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_j}-1}A_{k+l_j}\}\ \cup$$
$$\{x^{t_{l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}}A_{k+l_{j-1}+1},\ x^{t_{l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}+1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+1},\ \cdots,$$
$$x^{n-t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}-1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+1},$$
$$x^{t_{l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+2}}A_{k+l_{j-1}+2},\ x^{t_{l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+2}+1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+2},\ \cdots,$$
$$x^{n-t_{k+l_{j-1}+2}-1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+2},$$
$$\vdots$$
$$x^{t_{l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+i}}A_{k+l_{j-1}+i},\ x^{t_{l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+i}+1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+i},\ \cdots,$$
$$x^{n-t_{k+l_{j-1}+i}-1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+i},$$
$$\vdots$$
$$x^{t_{l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_j-1}}A_{k+l_j-1},\ x^{t_{l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_j-1}+1}A_{k+l_j-1},\ \cdots,$$
$$x^{n-t_{k+l_j-1}-1}A_{k+l_j-1},$$
$$x^{t_{l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_j}}A_{k+l_j},\ x^{t_{l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_j}+1}A_{k+l_j},\ \cdots,$$
$$x^{n-t_{k+l_j}-1}A_{k+l_j}\}$$
$$\cup$$
$$\{x^{t_{k+l_1-1}-t_{k+l_1}}A_{k+l_1},\ x^{t_{k+l_1-1}-t_{k+l_1}+1}A_{k+l_1},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{k+l_1-2}-t_{k+l_1}-1}A_{k+l_1}\}\ \cup$$
$$\{x^{t_{k+l_1-2}-t_{k+l_1-1}}A_{k+l_1-1},\ x^{t_{k+l_1-2}-t_{k+l_1-1}+1}A_{k+l_1-1},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{k+l_1-3}-t_{k+l_1-1}-1}A_{k+l_1-1},$$
$$x^{t_{k+l_1-2}-t_{k+l_1}}A_{k+l_1},\ x^{t_{k+l_1-2}-t_{k+l_1}+1}A_{k+l_1},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{k+l_1-3}-t_{k+l_1}-1}A_{k+l_1}\}\ \cup$$
$$\{x^{t_{k+l_1-3}-t_{k+l_1-2}}A_{k+l_1-2},\ x^{t_{k+l_1-3}-t_{k+l_1-2}+1}A_{k+l_1-2},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{k+l_1-4}-t_{k+l_1-2}-1}A_{k+l_1-2},$$
$$x^{t_{k+l_1-3}-t_{k+l_1-1}}A_{k+l_1-1},\ x^{t_{k+l_1-3}-t_{k+l_1-1}+1}A_{k+l_1-1},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{k+l_1-4}-t_{k+l_1-1}-1}A_{k+l_1-1},$$
$$x^{t_{k+l_1-3}-t_{k+l_1}}A_{k+l_1},\ x^{t_{k+l_1-3}-t_{k+l_1}+1}A_{k+l_1},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{k+l_1-4}-t_{k+l_1}-1}A_{k+l_1}\}$$
$$\cup$$
$$\vdots$$
$$\cup$$
$$\{x^{t_{k+2}-t_{k+3}}A_{k+3},\ x^{t_{k+2}-t_{k+3}+1}A_{k+3},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+3}-1}A_{k+3},$$
$$x^{t_{k+2}-t_{k+4}}A_{k+4},\ x^{t_{k+2}-t_{k+4}+1}A_{k+4},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+4}-1}A_{k+4},$$
$$\vdots$$

$x^{t_{k+2}-t_{k+l_1-i}}A_{k+l_1-i},\ x^{t_{k+2}-t_{k+l_1-i}+1}A_{k+l_1-i},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+l_1-i}-1}A_{k+l_1-i},$
$\vdots$
$x^{t_{k+2}-t_{k+l_1-1}}A_{k+l_1-1},\ x^{t_{k+2}-t_{k+l_1-1}+1}A_{k+l_1-1},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+l_1-1}-1}A_{k+l_1-1},$
$x^{t_{k+2}-t_{k+l_1}}A_{k+l_1},\ x^{t_{k+2}-t_{k+l_1}+1}A_{k+l_1},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+l_1}-1}A_{k+l_1}\} \cup$
$\{x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+2}}A_{k+2},\ x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+2}+1}A_{k+2},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_1-t_{k+2}-1}A_{k+2},$
$x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+3}}A_{k+3},\ x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+3}+1}A_{k+3},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_1-t_{k+3}-1}A_{k+3},$
$\vdots$
$x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+l_1-i}}A_{k+l_1-i},\ x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+l_1-i}+1}A_{k+l_1-i},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_1-t_{k+l_1-i}-1}A_{k+l_1-i},$
$\vdots$
$x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+l_1-1}}A_{k+l_1-1},\ x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+l_1-1}+1}A_{k+l_1-1},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_1-t_{k+l_1-1}-1}A_{k+l_1-1},$
$x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+l_1}}A_{k+l_1},\ x^{t_{k+1}-t_{k+l_1}+1}A_{k+l_1},\ \cdots,\ x^{t_1-t_{k+l_1}-1}A_{k+l_1}\}$
$\cup$
$\{x^{t_1-t_{k+1}}A_{k+1},\ x^{t_1-t_{k+1}+1}A_{k+1},\ \cdots,\ x^{n-t_{k+1}-1}A_{k+1},$
$x^{t_1-t_{k+2}}A_{k+2},\ x^{t_1-t_{k+2}+1}A_{k+2},\ \cdots,\ x^{n-t_{k+2}-1}A_{k+2},$
$\vdots$
$x^{t_1-t_{k+l_1-i}}A_{k+l_1-i},\ x^{t_1-t_{k+l_1-i}+1}A_{k+l_1-i},\ \cdots,\ x^{n-t_{k+l_1-i}-1}A_{k+l_1-i},$
$\vdots$
$x^{t_1-t_{k+l_1-1}}A_{k+l_1-1},\ x^{t_1-t_{k+l_1-1}+1}A_{k+l_1-1},\ \cdots,\ x^{n-t_{k+l_1-1}-1}A_{k+l_1-1},$
$x^{t_1-t_{k+l_1}}A_{k+l_1},\ x^{t_1-t_{k+l_1}+1}A_{k+l_1},\ \cdots,\ x^{n-t_{k+l_1}-1}A_{k+l_1}\},$
where $2 \leq j \leq r$ and j takes the value in decreasing order from r to 2. In this case, to show that B spans $B_2 - B$ we use the same techniques as used in Case 1 and Case 2. In the following table we summarize.

Terms belongs to B_2-B	Lemma used	Technique similar to case
$x^{t_{2k-1}-t_{2k}}A_{2k}$ to $x^{t_{l_r+1}-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}$	Lemma 4.3 for $i=k,k-1,\cdots,l_r+2$	Case 1
$x^{t_{l_r+1}-t_{k+l_r+1}}A_{k+l_r+1}$ to $x^{n-t_{k+l_r+1}-1}A_{k+l_r+1}$	Lemma 4.4 for $i=l_r+1$	Case 2
$x^{t_{l_r+1}-t_{k+l_r+2}}A_{k+l_r+2}$ to $x^{n-t_{2k}-1}A_{2k}$	Lemma 4.3 for $i=k,k-1,\cdots,l_r+2$	Case 1
for a fix j where $j=r,r-1,\cdots,2$		
$x^{t_{k+l_j-1}-t_{k+l_j}}A_{k+l_j}$ to $x^{t_{l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_j}-1}A_{k+l_j}$	Lemma 4.3 for $i=l_j$, $l_j+1,\cdots,l_{j-1}+3,l_{j-1}+2$	Case 1
$x^{t_{l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}}A_{k+l_{j-1}+1}$ to $x^{n-t_{k+l_{j-1}+1}-1}A_{k+l_{j-1}+1}$	Lemma 4.4 for $i=l_{j-1}+1$	Case 2
$x^{t_{l_{j-1}+1}-t_{k+l_{j-1}+2}}A_{k+l_{j-1}+2}$ to $x^{n-t_{k+l_j}-1}A_{k+l_j}$	Lemma 4.3 for $i=l_j$, $l_j+1,\cdots,l_{j-1}+3,l_{j-1}+2$	Case 1
$x^{t_{k+l_1-1}-t_{k+l_1}}A_{k+l_1}$ to $x^{t_1-t_{k+l_1}-1}A_{k+l_1}$	Lemma 4.3 for $i=l_1,l_1+1,\cdots,3,2$	Case 1
$x^{t_1-t_{k+1}}A_{k+1}$ to $x^{n-t_{k+1}-1}A_{k+1}$	Lemma 4.4 for $i=1$	Case 2
$x^{t_1-t_{k+2}}A_{k+2}$ to $x^{n-t_{k+l_1}-1}A_{k+l_1}$	Lemma 4.3 for $i=l_1,l_1+1,\cdots,3,2$	Case 1

This shows that B spans B_2. Now we show that B spans B_1. Recall that we have a homomorphism $\phi : C \to R_{u^k,p,n}$ (see Equation (1)). Therefore, $C/\mathrm{Ker}\phi \simeq \phi(C)$ and $\phi(C)$ is a cyclic code over $R_{u^k,p}$. Thus, we can realize $C/\mathrm{Ker}\phi$ as a cyclic code over $R_{u^k,p}$. Therefore, from Theorem 4.2 of [20], the minimal spanning set $B_{\phi(C)}$ of the code $C/\mathrm{Ker}\phi$ is $\{A_1+\mathrm{Ker}\phi, xA_1+\mathrm{Ker}\phi, \cdots, x^{n-t_1-1}A_1+\mathrm{Ker}\phi, A_2+\mathrm{Ker}\phi, xA_2+\mathrm{Ker}\phi, \cdots, x^{t_1-t_2-1}A_2+\mathrm{Ker}\phi, A_3+\mathrm{Ker}\phi, xA_3+\mathrm{Ker}\phi, \cdots, x^{t_2-t_3-1}A_3+\mathrm{Ker}\phi, \cdots, A_k+\mathrm{Ker}\phi, xA_k+\mathrm{Ker}\phi, \cdots, x^{t_{k-1}-t_k-1}A_k+\mathrm{Ker}\phi\}$. To show B spans B_1, we only show that $x^{t_1-t_2}A_2 \in \mathrm{Span}(B)$. In a similar way, we can show that $x^{t_1-t_2+1}A_2, \cdots, x^{n-t_2-1}A_2, \cdots, x^{t_{k-1}-t_k}A_k, \cdots, x^{n-t_k-1}A_k \in \mathrm{Span}(B)$. Since $B_{\phi(C)}$ spans $C/\mathrm{Ker}\phi$, we can write $x^{t_1-t_2}A_2+\mathrm{Ker}\phi$ as a $R_{u^k,p}$ linear combination of the elements of $B_{\phi(C)}$, i.e., $x^{t_1-t_2}A_2+\mathrm{Ker}\phi = \sum_{i=0}^{n-t_1-1} c_{i1}(x^iA_1+\mathrm{Ker}\phi) + \cdots + \sum_{i=0}^{t_{k-1}-t_k-1} c_{ik}(x^iA_k +$

Kerϕ), where $c_{ij} \in R_{u^k,p}$. Thus,

$$x^{t_1-t_2}A_2 - \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-t_1-1} c_{i1}(x^iA_1) + \cdots + \sum_{i=0}^{t_{k-1}-t_k-1} c_{ik}(x^iA_k) \right) \in \text{Ker}\phi.$$

Since Kerϕ = Span(B_2) and B spans B_2, we get $x^{t_1-t_2}A_2 \in$ Span(B). Similarly, we can show that $x^{t_1-t_2+1}A_2, \cdots, x^{n-t_2-1}A_2, \cdots, x^{t_{k-1}-t_k}A_k, \cdots, x^{n-t_k-1}A_k \in$ Span(B). This shows that B spans B_1.

It is easy to see that any elements of the spanning set B can not be written as the linear combination of its preceding elements and other elements in the spanning set B. Here we only show that $x^{t_1-t_2-1}A_2$ can not be written as linear combinations of others element of spanning set B. The proof is similar for the rest. Suppose, if possible $x^{t_1-t_2-1}A_2$ can be written as linear combinations of the others element of the spanning set B. Then we have $x^{t_1-t_2-1}A_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-t_1-1} \alpha_{1i}x^iA_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{t_1-t_2-2} \alpha_{2i}x^iA_2 + \sum_{i=0}^{t_2-t_3-1} \alpha_{3i}x^iA_3 + \cdots + \sum_{i=0}^{t_{k-1}-t_k-1} \alpha_{ki}x^iA_k + \sum_{i=0}^{t_1-t_{k+1}-1} \alpha_{(k+1)i}x^iA_{k+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{t'_1-t_{k+2}-1} \alpha_{(k+2)i}x^iA_{k+2} + \cdots + \sum_{i=0}^{t'_{k-1}-t_{2k}-1} \alpha_{(2k)i}x^iA_{2k}$, where, $\alpha_{ij} = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \beta_{ij}^{(l)}u^l + v\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \beta_{ij}^{(m)}u^m$, where, $\beta_{ij}^{(l)}, \beta_{ij}^{(m)} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ (Note that l, m is not a power of β it is a notation but l, m is a power of u). Thus, $x^{t_1-t_2-1}(ug_2(x) + u^2g_{22}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{2(k-1)}(x) + v(g_{2k}(x) + ug_{2(k+1)}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{2(2k-1)}(x))) = g_1(x)\sum_{j=0}^{n-t_1-1} \beta_{1j}^{(0)}x^j + ug_1(x)\sum_{j=0}^{n-t_1-1} \beta_{1j}^{(1)}x^j + ug_{11}(x)\sum_{j=0}^{n-t_1-1} \beta_{1j}^{(0)}x^j + ug_2(x)\sum_{j=0}^{t_1-t_2-2} \beta_{2j}^{(0)}x^j + u^2m_1(x) + u^3m_2(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}m_{k-2}(x) + v(m_{k-1}(x) + um_k(x) + u^2m_{k+1}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}m_{2k-2}(x))$, where, $m_1(x)$, $m_2(x)$, $\cdots$, $m_{2k-2}(x)$ is a polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$. By comparing both sides, we have $\beta_{1j}^{(0)} = 0$ for $0 \le j \le n - t_1 - 1$ and $x^{t_1-t_2-1}g_2(x) = g_1(x)\sum_{j=0}^{n-t_1-1} \beta_{1j}^{(1)}x^j + g_2(x)\sum_{j=0}^{t_1-t_2-2} \beta_{2j}^{(0)}x^j$. Note that $\deg(x^{t_1-t_2-1}g_2(x)) = t_1 - 1$ but $\deg(g_1(x)\sum_{j=0}^{n-t_1-1} \beta_{1j}^{(1)}x^j) \ge t_1$ and $\deg(g_2(x)\sum_{j=0}^{t_1-t_2-2} \beta_{2j}^{(0)}x^j) \le t_1 - 2$. Hence, this gives a contradiction. □

Theorem 4.7. *Let n be a positive integer relatively prime to p and C be a cyclic code of length n over the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$. If $C = \langle g_1(x) + ug_2(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_k(x), v(g_{k+1}(x) + ug_{k+2}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{2k}(x))\rangle$ with $t_1 = \deg(g_1(x))$, $t_{k+1} = \deg(g_{k+1}(x))$, then C has rank $n - t_{k+1}$. The minimal spanning set of C is $B = \{g_1(x) + ug_2(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_k(x)$, $x(g_1(x) + ug_2(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_k(x))$, $\cdots$, $x^{n-t_1-1}(g_1(x) + ug_2(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_k(x))$, $v(g_{k+1}(x) + ug_{k+2}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{2k}(x))$, $x(v(g_{k+1}(x) + ug_{k+2}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{2k}(x)))$, $\cdots$, $x^{t_1-t_{k+1}-1}(v(g_{k+1}(x) + ug_{k+2}(x) + \cdots + u^{k-1}g_{2k}(x)))\}$.*

Proof. The proof is as similar as Theorem 4.6. □

5. Minimum distance

Let n be a positive integer not relatively prime to p. Let C be a cyclic code of length n over $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$. We have $C_{2k} = \{f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \mid u^{k-1}vf(x) \in C\} = \langle g_{2k}(x)\rangle$ (See Page 6). Also, we know that C_{2k} is a cyclic code over $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let n be a positive integer not relatively prime to p. If $C = \langle A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_{2k}\rangle$ is a cyclic code of length n over the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$ Then $w_H(C) = w_H(C_{2k})$.*

Proof. Let $M(x,u,v) = m_0(x)+um_1(x)+\cdots+u^{k-1}m_{k-1}+v(m_k(x)+um_{k+1}(x)+\cdots+u^{k-1}m_{2k-1}(x)) \in C$, where $m_0(x), m_1(x), \cdots, m_{2k-1}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. We have $u^{k-1}vM(x) = u^{k-1}vm_0(x)$, $w_H(u^{k-1}vM(x)) \leq w_H(M(x))$ and $u^{k-1}vC$ is subcode of C with $w_H(u^{k-1}vC) \leq w_H(C)$. Thus $w_H(u^{k-1}vC) = w_H(C)$. Therefore, it is sufficient to focus on the subcode $u^{k-1}vC$ in order to prove the theorem. Since $w_H(C_{2k}) = w_H(u^{k-1}vC)$, we get $w_H(C) = w_H(C_{2k})$. □

Definition 5.2. *Let $m = b_{l-1}p^{l-1} + b_{l-2}p^{l-2} + \cdots + b_1p + b_0$, $b_i \in \mathbb{F}_p, 0 \leq i \leq l-1$, be the p-adic expansion of m.*

1. *If $b_{l-i} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq q, q < l$, and $b_{l-i} = 0$ for all $i, q+1 \leq i \leq l$, then m is said to have a p-adic length q zero expansion.*
2. *If $b_{l-i} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq q, q < l$, $b_{l-q-1} = 0$ and $b_{l-i} \neq 0$ for some $i, q+2 \leq i \leq l$, then m is said to have p-adic length q non-zero expansion.*
3. *If $b_{l-i} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$, then m is said to have a p-adic length l expansion or p-adic full expansion.*

Lemma 5.3. *Let C be a cyclic code over $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$ of length p^l where l is a positive integer. Let $C = \langle g(x)\rangle$ where $g(x) = (x^{p^{l-1}} - 1)^b h(x)$, $1 \leq b < p$. If $h(x)$ generates a cyclic code of length p^{l-1} and minimum distance d then the minimum distance $d(C)$ of C is $(b+1)d$.*

Proof. For $c \in C$, we have $c = (x^{p^{l-1}} - 1)^b h(x)m(x)$ for some $m(x) \in \frac{R_{u^k,v^2,p}[x]}{\langle x^{p^l}-1\rangle}$. Since $h(x)$ generates a cyclic code of length p^{l-1}, we have $w(c) = w((x^{p^{l-1}} - 1)^b h(x)m(x)) = w(x^{p^{l-1}b}h(x)m(x)) + w({}^bC_1 x^{p^{l-1}(b-1)}h(x)m(x)) + \cdots + w({}^bC_{b-1}\, x^{p^{l-1}}h(x)m(x)) + w(h(x)m(x))$. Thus, $d(C) = (b+1)d$. □

Theorem 5.4. *Let C be a cyclic code over the ring $R_{u^k,v^2,p}$ of length p^l where l is a positive integer. Then, $C = \langle A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_{2k}\rangle$ where $g_1(x) = (x-1)^{t_1}, g_2(x) = (x-1)^{t_2}, \cdots, g_{2k}(x) = (x-1)^{t_{2k}}$ (A_i's and $g_i(x)$'s are defined in page 5 (see page 5)) for some $t_1 > t_2 > \cdots > t_k > 0$, $t_{k+1} > t_{k+2} > \cdots > t_{2k} > 0$ and $t_i > t_{k+i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$*

1. *If $t_{2k} \leq p^{l-1}$, then $d(C) = 2$.*
2. *If $t_{2k} > p^{l-1}$, let $t_{2k} = b_{l-1}p^{l-1}+b_{l-2}p^{l-2}+\cdots+b_1p+b_0$ be the p-adic expansion of t_{2k} and $g_{2k}(x) = (x-1)^{t_{2k}} = (x^{p^{l-1}} - 1)^{b_{l-1}}(x^{p^{l-2}} - 1)^{b_{l-2}} \cdots (x^{p^1} - 1)^{b_1}(x^{p^0} - 1)^{b_0}$.*
   - (a) *If t_{2k} has a p-adic length q zero expansion or full expansion ($l = q$), then $d(C) = (b_{l-1}+1)(b_{l-2}+1)\cdots(b_{l-q}+1)$.*
   - (b) *If t_{2k} has a p-adic length q non-zero expansion, then $d(C) = 2(b_{l-1}+1)(b_{l-2}+1)\cdots(b_{l-q}+1)$.*

Proof. The first claim easily follows from Theorem 3.2. From Theorem 5.1, we see that $d(C) = d(C_{2k}) = d(\langle (x-1)^{t_{2k}} \rangle)$. Hence, we only need to determine the minimum weight of $C_{2k} = \langle (x-1)^{t_{2k}} \rangle$.
(1) If $t_{2k} \leq p^{l-1}$, then $(x-1)^{t_{2k}}(x-1)^{p^{l-1}-t_{2k}} = (x-1)^{p^{l-1}} = (x^{p^{l-1}}-1) \in C$. Thus, $d(C) = 2$.
(2) Let $t_{2k} > p^{l-1}$. (a) If t_{2k} has a p-adic length q zero expansion, we have $t_{2k} = b_{l-1}p^{l-1} + b_{l-2}p^{l-2} + \cdots + b_{l-q}p^{l-q}$, and $g_{2k}(x) = (x-1)^{t_{2k}} = (x^{p^{l-1}} - 1)^{b_{l-1}}(x^{p^{l-2}} - 1)^{b_{l-2}} \cdots (x^{p^{l-q}} - 1)^{b_{l-q}}$. Let $h(x) = (x^{p^{l-q}} - 1)^{b_{l-q}}$. Then $h(x)$ generates a cyclic code of length p^{l-q+1} and minimum distance $(b_{l-q}+1)$. By Lemma 5.3, the subcode generated by $(x^{p^{l-q+1}} - 1)^{b_{l-q+1}}h(x)$ has minimum distance $(b_{l-q+1}+1)(b_{l-q}+1)$. By induction on q, we can see that the code generated by $g_{2k}(x)$ has minimum distance $(b_{l-1} + 1(b_{l-2}+1)\cdots(b_{l-q}+1)$. Thus, $d(C) = (b_{l-1}+1)(b_{l-2}+1)\cdots(b_{l-q}+1)$.
(b) If t_{2k} has a p-adic length q non-zero expansion, we have $t_{2k} = b_{l-1}p^{l-1} + b_{l-2}p^{l-2} + \cdots + b_1p + b_0, b_{l-q-1} = 0$. Let $r = b_{l-q-2}p^{l-q-2} + b_{l-q-3}p^{l-q-3} + \cdots + b_1p + b_0$ and $h(x) = (x-1)^r = (x^{p^{l-q-2}} - 1)^{b_{l-q-2}}(x^{p^{l-q-3}} - 1)^{b_{l-q-3}} \cdots (x^{p^1} - 1)^{b_1}(x^{p^0} - 1)^{b_0}$. Since $r < p^{l-q-1}$, we have $p^{l-q-1} = r + j$ for some non-zero j. Thus, $(x-1)^{p^{l-q-1}-j}h(x) = (x^{p^{l-q-1}} - 1) \in C$. Hence, the subcode generated by $h(x)$ has minimum distance 2. By Lemma 5.3, the subcode generated by $(x^{p^{l-q}}-1)^{b_{l-q}}h(x)$ has minimum distance $2(b_{l-q}+1)$. By induction on q, we can see that the code generated by $g_{2k}(x)$ has minimum distance $2(b_{l-1}+1)(b_{l-2}+1)\cdots(b_{l-q}+1)$. Thus, $d(C) = 2(b_{l-1}+1)(b_{l-2}+1)\cdots(b_{l-q}+1)$.
□

6. EXAMPLES

Example 6.1. *Cyclic codes of length* 4 *over the ring* $R_{u^3,v^2,2} = \mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + u^2\mathbb{F}_2 + v(\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + u^2\mathbb{F}_2)$, $u^3 = 0$, $v^2 = 0$, $uv = vu$: *We have*

$$x^4 - 1 = (x-1)^4 \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_2$$

Let $g = x-1$ *and* $c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_{11} \in \mathbb{F}_2$. *The some of the non zero cyclic codes of length 4 over the ring* $R_{u^3,v^2,2}$ *with generator polynomials, rank and minimum distance are given in Tables 1 and 2.*

Table 1. Some non zero cyclic codes of length 4 over $R_{u^3,v^2,2}$.

Non-zero generator polynomials	Rank	d(C)
$\langle vu^2g^3\rangle$	1	4
$\langle v(ug^3+u^2c_0g), vu^2g^2\rangle$	2	2
$\langle v(g^3+uc_0g+u^2c_1), v(ug^2+u^2c_2), vu^2g\rangle$	3	2
$\langle u^2g^3+v(c_0g^2+uc_1g+u^2c_2), v(g^3+uc_3g+u^2c_4),$ $v(ug^2+u^2c_5), vu^2g\rangle$	4	2
$\langle ug^3+u^2c_0g+v(c_1g^2+uc_2g+u^2c_3),$ $u^2g^2+v(c_4g^2+uc_5g+u^2c_6), v(g^3+uc_7g+u^2c_8),$ $v(ug^2+u^2c_9), vu^2g\rangle$	5	2
$\langle g^3+uc_0g+u^2c_1+v(c_2g^2+uc_3g+u^2c_4),$ $ug^2+u^2c_5+v(c_6g^2+uc_7g+u^2c_8),$ $u^2g+v(c_9g^2+uc_{10}g+u^2c_{11}),\rangle$	3	2

Table 2. Non zero free cyclic codes of length 4 over $R_{u^3,v^2,2}$.

Non-zero generator polynomials	Rank	d(C)
$\langle g^3+uc_0g^2+u^2c_1g^2+v(c_2g^2+uc_3g^2+u^2c_4g^2)\rangle$	1	4
$\langle g^2+u(c_0+c_1x)+u^2(c_2+c_3x)g^2$ $+v((c_4+c_5x)g^2+u(c_6+c_7x)g^2+u^2(c_8+c_9x)g^2)\rangle$	2	2
$\langle g+uc_0+u^2c_1+v(c_2+uc_3+u^2c_4)\rangle$	3	2
$\langle 1\rangle$	4	1

Example 6.2. *Cyclic codes of length* 4 *over the ring* $R_{u^3,v^2,3}=\mathbb{F}_3+u\mathbb{F}_3+u^2\mathbb{F}_3+v(\mathbb{F}_3+u\mathbb{F}_3+u^2\mathbb{F}_3)$, $u^3=0$, $v^2=0$, $uv=vu$: *We have*

$$x^4-1=(x+1)(x+2)(x^2+1) \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_3$$

Let $g_1=x+1$, $g_2=x+2$ *and* $g_3=x^2+1$. *The non zero cyclic codes of length 4 over the ring* $R_{u^3,v^2,3}$ *with generator polynomials and rank given in Table 3.*

Table 3. Non zero cyclic codes of length 4 over $R_{u^3,v^2,3}$.

Non-zero generator polynomials	Rank
$\langle g_1g_2+ug_1g_2+u^2g_1, v(g_1g_2+ug_2+u^2)\rangle$	2
$\langle g_1g_2+ug_1+u^2, v(g_2+u+u^2)\rangle$	3
$\langle g_1g_3+ug_3+u^2, v(g_1+u+u^2)\rangle$	3
$\langle ug_2g_3+u^2g_3, v(g_2g_3+ug_3+u^2g_3)\rangle$	1
$\langle v(g_1g_3+ug_3+u^2)\rangle$	1
$\langle g_2g_3+ug_2+u^2\rangle$	1

REFERENCES

[1] Taher Abualrub, Ali Ghrayeb, and Robert H. Oehmke. A mass formula and rank of $\mathbb{Z}_4$ cyclic codes of length 2^e. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 50(12):3306–3312, 2004.

[2] Taher Abualrub and Robert Oehmke. On the generators of $\mathbb{Z}_4$ cyclic codes of length 2^e. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 49(9):2126–2133, 2003.

[3] Taher Abualrub and Irfan Siap. Cyclic codes over the rings $\mathbb{Z}_2+u\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2+u\mathbb{Z}_2+u^2\mathbb{Z}_2$. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 42(3):273–287, 2007.

[4] Mohammed Al-Ashker and Mohammed Hamoudeh. Cyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_2+u\mathbb{Z}_2+u^2\mathbb{Z}_2+\cdots+u^{k-1}\mathbb{Z}_2$. *Turkish J. Math.*, 35(4):737–749, 2011.

[5] Thomas Blackford. Cyclic codes over Z_4 of oddly even length. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 128(1):27–46, 2003. International Workshop on Coding and Cryptography (WCC 2001) (Paris).

[6] A. Bonnecaze and P. Udaya. Cyclic codes and self-dual codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 45(4):1250–1255, 1999.

[7] A. R. Calderbank and N. J. A. Sloane. Modular and p-adic cyclic codes. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 6(1):21–35, 1995.

[8] A. Robert Calderbank, Eric M. Rains, P. W. Shor, and Neil J. A. Sloane. Quantum error correction via codes over GF(4). *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 44(4):1369–1387, 1998.

[9] A.R. Calderbank, A.R. Hammons, P. V. Kumar, N. J. A Sloane, and P. Sole. A linear construction for certain kerdock and preparata codes. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 29:218–222.

[10] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane. Self-dual codes over the integers modulo 4. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 62(1):30–45, 1993.

[11] H. Q. Dinh. Constayclic codes of length p^s over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$. *Journal of Algebra*, 324(5):940–950, 2010.

[12] H. Q. Dinh and S. Lopez-Permouth. Cyclic and negacyclic codes over finite chain rings. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 50(8):1728–1744, 2004.

[13] Steven T. Dougherty, Suat Karadeniz, and Bahattin Yildiz. Cyclic codes over R_k. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 63(1):113–126, 2012.

[14] Steven T. Dougherty and Keisuke Shiromoto. Maximum distance codes over rings of order 4. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 47(1):400–404, 2001.

[15] A.R. Hammons, P. V. Kumar, A.R. Calderbank, N. J. A Sloane, and P. Sole. The $\mathbb{Z}_4$-linearity of kerdock, preparata, goethals, and related codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 40:301–319, 1994.

[16] Pramod Kumar Kewat, Bappaditya Ghosh, and Sukhamoy Pattanayak. Cyclic codes over the ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[u,v]/\langle u^2, v^2, uv - vu\rangle$. *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, 34:161–175, 2015.

[17] B. R. McDonald. Finite rings with identity. *Pure and Applied Mathematics. New York: Marcel Dekker*, 28, 1974.

[18] A. A. Nechaev. Kerdock code in a cyclic form. *Discr.Math. Applic.*, 1(4):365–384, 1991.

[19] Vera S. Pless and Zhongqiang Qian. Cyclic codes and quadratic residue codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 42(5):1594–1600, 1996.

[20] Abhay Kumar Singh and Pramod Kumar Kewat. Cyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_p[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 10.1007/s10623-013-9843-2, 2013.

[21] Reza Sobhani and Maryam Molakarimi. Some results on cyclic codes over the ring $R_{2,m}$. *Turkish J. Math.*, 37:1061–1074, 2013.

[22] J. H. van Lint. Repeated-root cyclic codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 37(2):343–345, 1991.

[23] Bahattin Yildiz and Suat Karadeniz. Cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2 + uv\mathbb{F}_2$. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 58(3):221–234, 2011.

Department of Applied Mathematics, Indian School of Mines, Dhanbad 826 004, India

E-mail address: `bappaditya.ghosh86@gmail.com`, `kewat.pk.am@ismdhanbad.ac.in`